

Department of Executive Services

Inquest Program

401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135 Seattle, WA 98104

206-477-6191

TTY Relay 711

Webpage: kingcounty.gov/inquests Email: Inquests@kingcounty.gov

INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF CURTIS TADE # 17IQ61739

INTERROGATORIES TO THE INQUEST JURY

DATED 20th day of June, 2023.

Robert McBeth

Inquest Administrator

Cobert Co McBell

INTERROGATORIES ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND CAUSES OF THE DEATH OF CURTIS TADE

Interi	r ogatory No. 1: family?	Did Curtis Tac	de physically confront a member or members of the Campos
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interi	r ogatory No. 2 : Campos famil		de engage in a physical struggle with members of the
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interi	rogatory No. 3	Did members	of the Campos Family take Curtis Tade down to the ground?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 4	Did Tue Tran	intervene and help Curtis Tade up from the ground?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 5	Did Tue Tran	take Curtis Tade back to his apartment?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 6 assistance?	: During the co	nfrontation did members of the Campos Family call 911 for
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter		: Were Officers t the Emerson A	s responding to the call informed there was a dispute or a Apartments?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 8	: Did Officer L	owell respond to the scene and contact the Campos family?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 9	: Did Officer L	owell speak with Melissa Scrivens?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 1 acting like his		Lowell learn from Ms. Scrivens that Curtis Tade was not
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 1 McClain?	1: Did Officer	Lowell provide this information to Officer Cox and Officer
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Inter	rogatory No. 1 uniform?	2: Was Officer	Cox wearing a clearly marked Kirkland Police Department
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN

Interrogatory No. happened?	13: Did Offi	cer Cox speak with Ms. Scrivens to learn more about what
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
		Scrivens tell Officer Cox that Mr. Tade was not acting like ken prescription medications and alcohol?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	15: Did Mel	issa Scrivens alert Officer Cox that Mr. Tade was approaching?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	. 16: Did Mel	issa Scrivens point out Mr. Tade to Officer Cox?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	. 17: Was Cu	rtis Tade walking in the general direction of Officer Cox?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. Campos Fa		rtis Tade walking in the general direction of members of the
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	. 19: Did Offi	icer Cox observe that Curtis Tade was carrying a rifle?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 20: Did Offi	icer Cox command Curtis Tade to stop?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 21: Did Cur	tis Tade stop in response to a command?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 22: Did Off	icer Cox command Curtis Tade to drop his firearm?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 23: Did Cur	tis Tade drop his firearm in response to a command?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 24: Did Cur	tis Tade have time to respond to Officer Cox's commands?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 25: Did Cur	tis Tade turn towards Officer Cox while holding the rifle?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No	. 26: Did Off	icer Cox fire his handgun at Curtis Tade?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
		answer to the preceding Interrogatory was YES, did a bullet or Cox strike Curtis Tade?

	YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
Interr			l Police Department officers s Tade being struck by bulle	request medical aid for Curtis ts?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN	
Interr	ogatory No. 2 9 Curtis Tade?	9: Did Kirkland	l Police Department officers	promptly provide medical aid to
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN	
Interr			ade die from injuries caused Emerson Apartments in Kir	by a bullet fired by Officer Cox kland, WA?
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN	
Ansv		tories No. 31-3	OUT USE OF FORCE PO 5 only if you found that Off s Tade (Interrogatory No. 2	ficer Cox fired his handgun at
Interr	300.3 (Exhibit reasonably apat the time of	it 100), which ropeared necessath the event to ac	equires that an officer only using given the facts and circum complish a legitimate law en	nstances perceived by the officer forcement purpose?
	YES	·		DID NOT ANSWER
Interr	Department S deadly force	Section 300.4(a) to protect the original threat	t of death or serious bodily ir	les that an officer may use e officer reasonably believed

Interrogatory No. 33: Was Officer Cox's use of deadly force authorized by Kirkland Police Department Section 300.4(b) (Exhibit 100), which provides that: a) an officer may use deadly force to stop a fleeing subject when i) the officer has probable cause to believe that the person has committed, or intends to commit, a felony involving the infliction or threatened infliction of serious bodily injury or death, and ii) the officer reasonably believes that there is an imminent risk of serious bodily injury or death to any other person if the subject is not immediately apprehended, and b) a verbal warning should precede the use of deadly force, where feasible.					
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER		
Interrogatory No. 34: Were the actions of Officer Cox consistent with the Use of Force training received by Officer Cox?					
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER		
Interrogatory N	TERROGATORIES A No. 35: Did the training p the actions of Officer Co	provided Officer Cox or	FION TRAINING In the subject of De-Escalation	on	
Escalatio		of Officer Cox in this in	officer Cox on the subject of astance, were the actions of	De-	
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER		
INTERROGATORIES ABOUT WHETHER CURTIS TADE'S DEATH WAS OCCASIONED BY CRIMINAL MEANS? Answer the remaining interrogatories only if you found that Curtis Tade died from a bullet					
	o o	er Cox (Interrogatory			
~ •	No. 37: Was Officer Cox e to an order by Officer		essary to overcome actual of a legal duty?		

Interrogatory No. 38: Was Officer Cox firing his handgun necessary to arrest or apprehend Mr.

YES _____ NO____ UNKNOWN___ DID NOT ANSWER___

NO_____ UNKNOWN____ DID NOT ANSWER____

Tade?

Interrogatory No. 39: attempting to co		easonably believe Mr.	Tade had committed or was
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
		nave probable cause to l rious physical harm to c	believe that Mr. Tade, if not officer Cox or others?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
Interrogatory No. 41: Instruction No.		eadly force by Officer C	Cox justifiable, as defined in
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
		gatories (Interrogatori e previous interrogator	es No. 43 & 44) only if you ry (Interrogatory #41)
			eadly force against Curtis Tade or Cox done with malice?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
0	•		eadly force against Curtis Tade or Cox not in good faith?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
Interrogatory No. 44: defined in Instru		cause the death of Curti	s Tade by criminal means as
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	DID NOT ANSWER
DATED this da	ay of, 202	3.	
Panel Foreperson		Panel Member	r
Panel Member		Panel Member	r
Panel Member		Panel Member	r