

JUROR NO. 6

Date: April 18, 2023

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Ofc. Grayson
(print witness name)

Did you see Mr. Seavers seem to acknowledge
your presence ~~at~~ or the presence of any
other officers?

[Inquest Office Use Only – please do not write below this line]

Objection

No Objection

Question Asked?

Counsel for Family:

X

Yes X No _____

Counsel for SPD:

X

Counsel for Officers:

X

Inquest Program Atty:

X

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Officer Knoblauch
(print witness name)

At the time of responding to the 911 call, did you
know the subject was armed?

[Inquest Office Use Only – please do not write below this line]

Objection

No Objection

Question Asked?

Counsel for Family: _____

X

Yes ___ No ___

Counsel for SPD: _____

X

Counsel for Officers: _____

X

Inquest Program Atty: _____

X

JUROR NO. 4

Date: 4/18 ?
(Tues.)

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Randle
(print witness name)

Term "Window Break" many Officers were calling for that Tool, trying to break into VW.

Is a "Window Break" Standard tool in a Patrol Car? Did Randle have a "Window Break" Tool in his Patrol Car?

[Inquest Office Use Only – please do not write below this line]

| | Objection | No Objection | Question Asked? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Counsel for Family: | _____ | <u>X</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Counsel for SPD: | _____ | <u>X</u> | |
| Counsel for Officers: | _____ | <u>X</u> | |
| Inquest Program Atty: | _____ | <u>X</u> | |

JUROR NO. 4

Date: 4/24

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Pierce (Pierce)
(print witness name)

Officer Pierce Said Suspect Posed "no threat."

Question: Suspect posed no Threat to Him (Pierce)

OR
Did Suspect posed no Threat to all
Officers at Scene #37

If there was no Threat to all Officers,
Why were guns in Drawn
Position - Pointed at Suspect?

[Inquest Office Use Only - please do not write below this line]

Objection

No Objection

Question Asked?

Counsel for Family:

X

Yes X No _____

Counsel for SPD:

X

Counsel for Officers:

X

Inquest Program Atty:

X

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Capt. Caylor
(print witness name)

Did the attempts of other officers at the scene to ^{apprehend the suspect} ~~break the~~
~~car's windows~~ factor into the evaluation of whether ~~the~~ use-of-force
was compliant with SPD policy? _{Ofc. Schickler's}

[Inquest Office Use Only – please do not write below this line]

| | <i>Objection</i> | <i>No Objection</i> | <i>Question Asked?</i> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Counsel for Family: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Counsel for SPD: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Counsel for Officers: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Inquest Program Atty: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Ofc. Snyder
(print witness name)

This may not be the correct form or procedure, (for this request if so, I apologize—

Would it be possible to view Ofc. Snyder's patrol car camera video at the time that he rammed the jetta driven by Mr. Seavers (as he testified to in the recorded testimony we heard last week) ?

[Inquest Office Use Only – please do not write below this line]

| | Objection | No Objection | Question Asked? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Counsel for Family: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ^{video shown} |
| Counsel for SPD: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Counsel for Officers: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Inquest Program Atty: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

JUROR QUESTION FORM

QUESTION FOR WITNESS: Dr. Alpert
(print witness name)

Several officers attempted to break the window glass of the jetta or called for a window break at "scene 3." Does the fact that officers approached the jetta without a tool sufficient to break the window glass have any bearing on your assessment of officer-created jeopardy (or lack thereof) in this situation? And why or why not?

[Inquest Office Use Only - please do not write below this line]

Objection

No Objection

Question Asked?

Counsel for Family:

X

Yes ___ No ___

Counsel for SPD:
OUTSIDE THE
SCOPE OF
THE INQUEST

THIS IS NOT A REFERENDUM
ON SPD EQUIPMENT/POLICY @ THE TIME
AS FOR QUESTION 3, WITNESS ANSWERED THAT SEANOLS

Counsel for Officers:

This falls outside the
concept of creates ambiguity
beyond the scope

CREATED THE
JEOPARDY

Inquest Program Atty:

_____ X