THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EXECUTIVE SERVICES

Inquest into the Death of

Robert J. Lightfeather

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Case No.: 17IQ16588

RESPONSE RE: CITY OF FEDERAL WAY, TYLER TURPIN, AUSTIN ROGERS MOTION(S) IN LIMINE

11 12 **RESPONSE RE: MOTION(S) IN LIMINE** 13 King County Executive Order Conducting Inquests in King County Section (EO) 3.3 14 allows that the Washington State Courts Rules of Evidence shall generally apply to inquest 15 hearings, supplemented and/or modified by additional rules governing administrative 16 proceedings, at the discretion of the administrator. The administrator shall construe the Rules of 17 Evidence in a manner to promote fairness. 18 The IA should deny the motion to exclude reference to the officer's statements and the 19 process by which the statements were obtained. 20 The officer's statements are relevant. "Relevant evidence" means evidence having any 21 tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the 22 action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Definition of "Relevant TERI ROGERS KEMP RESPONSE RE: MOTION(S) IN LIMINE – Page 1 of 3 23 ATTORNEY AT LAW P.O. Box 3454 Seattle, Washington 98114 24 Ph.: 206.518.7088 Fax.: 206.238.9986 kemplegalresearch@gmail.com

Evidence", Wash. R. Evid. (ER) 401. The material fact(s) of consequence to the jury's
 determination whether officers Roger's and Turpin's use of deadly force was justified, are those
 discovered in the officers' statement(s) regarding each consideration and use of deadly force.
 Excluding such evidence would be preemptively barring the Jury from considering particularly
 relevant evidence and testimony, which is impermissible.

Aside from the Federal Way Police Department, officers Turpin and Rogers are the right
subjects of the "criminal means" inquiry and interrogatories. The probative value of the
statements and process by which obtained is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair
prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury. ER 403.

10 The IA should deny the motion to exclude reference to the disciplinary history of the
11 officers and other use of force incidents.

The officer's disciplinary history and involvement in other incidents of use of force is
relevant including because it goes to whether these officers engaged in a pattern of behavior,
which ought to be considered in light of possible defenses in this probable cause hearing.
The IA should deny the motion to exclude reference to the thoroughness of FWPD's and
Valley Investigation Team's investigation and subsequent post-incident steps taken by
those agencies.

The FWPD's and Valley Investigation Team's (VIT) lack of a thorough investigation is relevant, including because it goes to whether the investigation was intentionally biased in favor of or against the officers; which ought to be considered in this probable cause hearing.

The Family responds that the IA should deny the motion to exclude reference to or
evidence of what the officer's "could have" or "should have" done differently.

RESPONSE RE: MOTION(S) IN LIMINE – Page 2 of 3

22 23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The FWPD's and VIT's Commanders' and officers' "hindsight" is relevant including because it implicates department policy and training and whether the officer's complied with or violated department policy and training, which ought to be considered in this probable cause hearing. **CONCLUSION & REQUEST FOR RELIEF** For the reasons and argument so stated, the family responds that the IA should deny the parties' motion to exclude. The evidence is relevant, and introduction of this evidence will assist the Jury, and not confuse or mislead the Jury. Without the context this evidence presents, the outcome of the investigation into whether the officers' use of deadly force was justified, cannot be relied upon as complete or true. DATED this 12th day of August 2022. Respectfully submitted; /s/ Teri Rogers Kemp Teri Rogers Kemp, WSBA #24701 For the Family of Robert J. Lightfeather RESPONSE RE: MOTION(S) IN LIMINE - Page 3 of 3 TERI ROGERS KEMP ATTORNEYAT LAW P.O. Box 3454 Seattle, Washington 98114 Ph.: 206.518.7088 Fax.: 206.238.9986 kemplegalresearch@gmail.com