22

23

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY

F

No. 517IQ9301

SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S BRIEF RE SCOPE OF INQUEST

[Clerk's Action Required]

INTRODUCTION

The Executive Order PHL-7-1-2-EO (2018) and its Appendices thereto define the scope and purpose of this inquest. Under Appendix 2, Section 3.2, the inquiry is limited to the cause, manner, and circumstance of death, the SPD policies limited to cause, manner, and circumstances of death, and whether the officer complied with those policies – and nothing more. In reviewing the Administrator's Order dated September 19, 2019, the proposed scope of inquiry topics (a.- h.) are acceptable with the requested clarification as indicated below.

SPD asks the Administrator to order that the relevant timeframe for this inquest is limited to 8:55 a.m. on June 18, 2017 when Ms. Lyles made a call to 911 through to the time Officers

SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S BRIEF RE SCOPE OF INQUEST - 1

Peter S. Holmes Seattle City Attorney 701 5th Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104-7095 (206) 684-8200

Schickler, Ladd and Barton arrived and administered aid, including CPR, ending in a determination that Ms. Lyles had expired at 10:18 a.m. SPD Officer Gabriel Ladd Report, attached as Exhibit C to *Declaration of Jeffrey M. Wolf.* The applicable SPD policies with respect to person or persons who caused the death of Ms. Lyles are similarly limited to this time period as Officers Anderson and McNew took no action whatsoever with respect to Ms. Lyles or this burglary investigation until the morning of June 18, 2019 and not earlier than 8:55 a.m. Prior to this incident, neither officer had ever met or encountered Ms. Lyles.

The only evidence of events occurring outside of this timeframe appropriately admitted in this proceeding are of a forensic investigative nature – medical examination and crime scene investigation admissible independently under PHL-7-1-2-EO, App. 2, Section 12.3 (2018).

The City produced the incident report (GO 2017-219301) and Force Investigation Team's report to each of the parties. Officers Anderson and McNew wore in-car-video microphones on their uniform during the above relevant timeframe. These recordings were also provided to the parties. These materials provide each of the parties with sufficient information for them to make determinations as to the scope of this inquest and to brief the Administrator as to their respective positions.

AUTHORITY

3.0 Role of the Administrator/Scope of the Inquest:

3.2 The administrator, after consultation with the participating parties, shall determine the inquest scope. Consistent with the purpose as set for in the amended Charter, Executive Order, and Appendix 1, and 2, the inquest scope shall include an inquiry into and the panel shall make findings regarding the cause, manner, and circumstances of the death, including applicable law enforcement agency policy. The panel shall make findings regarding whether the law enforcement officer complied with applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death.

PHL-7-1-2-EO, App. 2, Section 3.2 (2018).

7

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

///

///

///

22

23

12.3. The employing government department shall designate an official(s) to provide a comprehensive overview of the forensic investigation into the incident (e.g., statements collected by investigators, investigators' review of forensic evidence, physical evidence collected by investigators, etc.). Additionally, the chief law enforcement officer of the involved agency or director of the employing government department shall provide testimony concerning the applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death but may not comment on whether employees' actions related to the death were pursuant to training and policy; or any conclusions about whether the employee's actions were within policy or training.

PHL-7-1-2-EO, App. 2, Section 12.3 (2018).

ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE AND FACTS

Officers Anderson and McNew had been patrol officers with SPD since September 10, 2015 and June 17, 2009 respectively. As SPD officers, they underwent training on a wide variety of topics which address the many circumstances and practices expected of patrol officers.

On the morning of June 18, 2017 Ms. Lyles called 911 at 8:55 a.m. and reported that a burglary had occurred at her apartment. Specifically, she reported that about three hours earlier she discovered that her apartment door was open and that an Xbox was missing. Ms. Lyles requested that Seattle police officers come to her home to investigate. Officer Anderson was dispatched in response to Ms. Lyles' report of the residential burglary. He arrived at 9:15 a.m. SPD CAD dated June 18, 2019 attached as Exhibit D to *Declaration of Jeffrey M. Wolf.* Upon arriving at the scene, Officer Anderson reviewed the SPD contact history with respect to Ms. Lyles on his patrol car computer system to get some information to pre-fill his report. At that time, he learned that Ms. Lyles had an officer safety associated with her.

IDENTIFIED PERSON:	LYLES, CHARLEENA CHAVON		Score:		
DOB:	B: App. Age: 30				
Sex	FEMALE	Race	AFRICAN AMERICAN/BLACK		
Address:	6818 62 AV NE				
Apt.:	4303				
City:	SEATTLE	State	Washington		
Zip:	98115				
Home phone:	CONT. BUTTONS	Business phone			
Cell phone:					
CAUTION	ITION: ASSAULTIVE TO OFFICERS, MENTAL, THREATS TO OFFICERS, WEAPON				
S.S.N.:					
License #:	COLUMN TO SERVICE STREET	State of Issue	WA		
Occupation	STUDENT				
Birth Place:	Washington	Language	ENGLISH		
Citizenship:	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Marital Status			
Ethnicity:	Non-Hispanic	Complexion	MEDIUM BROWN		
Build:	SLIM	Height	503 ft		
Weight	110 lbs				
Eye Color.	BROWN	Lens Type			
Hair Color.	BLACK				
Remarks:	ARMED HERSELF WITH A KNIFE AND THREATENE	OFFICERS			
	Phone Type	Phone Numbe	r		
	Cell	100.00			
	Home			- 6	
Query this name					

Officer Anderson pulled up the most recent police contact report dated June 5, 2017 (GO 2017-200822). In that report, two SPD officers contacted her regarding a domestic violence incident. Once in her apartment, Ms. Lyles acted unusual, indicating that she and her child were going to morph into wolves. The report further indicated Ms. Lyles pulled out a pair of shearing scissors and threatened one of the officers. Ms. Lyles eventually relinquished control of the scissors and nobody was hurt in that incident. GO 2017-200822 is attached as Exhibit A to *Declaration of Jeffrey M. Wolf*.

Based on the officer safety caution and June 5th report, Officer Anderson concluded that safety would be best served by having an additional officer present when he contacted Ms. Lyles. Officer Anderson called for assistance. Officer McNew arrived to assist shortly thereafter and Officer Anderson briefed him about this incident.

The officers proceeded to the door of Ms. Lyles' secure apartment complex and contacted her via the Callbox. Ms. Lyles answered and buzzed the Officers into the building. Initially, Officer Anderson thought that this call may have been related to a prior call he responded to in Ms. Lyles' apartment complex. However, once Officer Anderson reached Ms. Lyles' floor, he realized that he had responded to a call next door to Ms. Lyles.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ms. Lyles greeted Officer Anderson when she came to the door in response to his knock. The officers presented in full police uniform. Both officers were armed with police issued firearms. In addition, Officer Anderson carried a baton and Officer McNew carried an expandable baton. They explained who they were and asked Ms. Lyles if they could come inside. Ms. Lyles invited them in. Officers Anderson and McNew stepped inside the apartment and began their burglary investigation.

Initially, nothing was unusual about this encounter. Upon entering the apartment, officers Anderson and McNew asked Ms. Lyles several questions about the burglary she reported. Ms. Lyles' demeanor was calm and responsive, and the officers observed no signs which indicated to them that Ms. Lyles might have been experiencing a mental health crisis. Officer McNew observed the condition of the doorway, looking for signs of forced entry. There was no evidence of forced entry to the apartment. The officers were led to the back bedroom of the apartment by Ms. Lyles, where she claimed the Xbox was taken. Notably, officers Anderson and McNew's investigation found no evidence of the residential burglary.

Unbeknownst to the officers, Ms. Lyles previously placed both a sheathed and unsheathed fixed blade knife in the pocket of her long down jacket. The officers were concluding their investigation and moved with Ms. Lyles back toward the entrance door/kitchen area of the small apartment. The peaceful and calm verbal exchange between Officer Anderson and Ms. Lyles changed instantly when Ms. Lyles pulled out a knife that she had been concealing in her right pocket and lunged toward Officer Anderson's midsection in a stabbing motion. At the time, Officer Anderson was writing in his notebook and happened to glance up slightly to see Ms. Lyles moving towards him with what he could see was a flash of a blade in her right hand as she was trying to stab him in the abdomen at his belt line. He backed away to avoid being struck with the blade.

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Faced with a deadly weapon, Officer Anderson immediately drew his firearm and attempted to create some distance between himself and Ms. Lyles. Officer Anderson also commanded Ms. Lyles to get back and called for fast backup.

At that point, Ms. Lyles turned her focus on Officer McNew, who was cornered in the galley style kitchen with no means of escape. Officer McNew drew his firearm as soon as he perceived the deadly threat, he commanded Ms. Lyles to get back. As she wielded a knife or knives against the officers and was commanded to get back, Ms. Lyles told officers Anderson and McNew, "Get ready, mother f kers," as she continued to approach Officer McNew. Officer McNew radioed for back-up assistance, indicating they were faced with a woman with two knives. Ms. Lyles drew closer to Officer McNew, who felt that she may be attempting to throw the knife at him where he stood 3 to 4 feet away.

Officers Anderson and McNew continued to command Ms. Lyles to get back. Ms. Lyles refused to comply with the officers' commands. Officers Anderson and McNew simultaneously discharged their weapons, seven shots in total, all of which struck Ms. Lyles. All seven spent shell cartridges, four by Officer Anderson and three by Officer McNew, were discovered in the apartment directly adjacent to the area were each officer was located.

Officers Anderson and McNew immediately called for backup and medics. Ms. Lyles' injuries were fatal. Medics and backup officers eventually arrived and secured the scene. Officers Schickler, Field Training Officer Barton, and Student Officer Ladd, responding to Officer McNew's call for assistance, arrived shortly after the shooting. After making sure the children were safe, they immediately initiated first aid and CPR to Ms. Lyles. They were unable to revive her, and she was determined to be deceased at 10:18 a.m. SPD Officer Gabriel Ladd Report, attached as Exhibit C to *Declaration of Jeffrey M. Wolf.*

A crime scene investigation (CSI) recovered eight knives. The knife that had been in Ms. Lyles' hand was recovered near her body, the sheath for that knife was in the right pocket of her down coat. A second straight 4½ inch blade was recovered from the left pocket of Ms. Lyles' down coat.

ARGUMENT

Appendix 2, Section 3.2 of the Executive's Order restricts the scope of the inquiry and thereby evidence presented at the inquest to the following items: 1) cause, 2) manner, 3) circumstances of the death, 4) applicable law enforcement agency policy and 5) whether officers complied with that policy.

1. Relevant Scope – Timeframe Limited to Events Occurring on June 18th

Based upon the facts of this case, the timeframe addressing the cause, manner, circumstances of death, and policies related thereto are all covered by events beginning from Ms. Lyles' call to 911 at 8:55 a.m. on June 18, 2017 through the time Officers Schickler, Field Training Officer Barton and Student Officer Ladd administered first aid and CPR and eventually determined that Ms. Lyles was deceased at 10:18 a.m. *Id*.

2. Inquiry into Taser Facts or Policies do not Warrant Expansion of Timeframe

Officer Anderson was taser certified. Officer McNew was not taser certified. To the extent that parties to this inquest contend that SPD policy required Officer Anderson to have carried a taser to the scene instead of or in addition to a baton, this inquiry does not call for an expansion of scope of timeframe. He either did or did not carry a taser when he entered Ms. Lyles' apartment, and if he did not carry a taser, the relevant inquiry is whether it affected the circumstances, manner or cause of death.

3. June 5th Incident

Unlike in a civil claim for negligence, what the officers could have or should have known is not relevant. The inquiry must be through the lens of what the officers knew and then only to the extent it is relevant to the cause, manner, and circumstance of death. In this instance, neither officer had previously met Ms. Lyles. Officer Anderson, while in his car outside of Ms. Lyles' apartment, read the report detailing the June 5th encounter and shared information about it with Officer McNew. Neither officer spoke with any of the officers involved with the June 5th encounter. Facts which might expand on what occurred during the June 5th encounter are irrelevant because they are beyond the four corners of the report that Officer Anderson reviewed. Similarly, testimony from any of the officers involved in the June 5th incident is unwarranted, beyond the scope of this inquest and could potentially mislead the fact finder.

4. Crime Scene Investigation

The Inquest Order and Appendix 2, Section 12.3 specifically calls for the government department to provide a comprehensive overview of the forensic investigation and anticipates the presentation of testimony from the same. See, CSI Report, attached as Exhibit B to *Declaration of Jeffrey M. Wolf.* No expansion of the City's requested timeframe is necessary to ensure the admission of investigative evidence.

5. Applicable Policies

The relevant SPD policies are contained within 2017 Seattle Police Manual. Specific polices applicable to the instant matter include: 1) Title 8 – Use of Force; 2) Title 5 Employee Conduct. No policy pertinent to the inquest was implicated prior to 8:55 a.m. on the day of the shooting or after Ms. Lyles' death at 10:18 a.m.

6. Applicable Training:

Training applicable to this inquest may include: Use of Force; Integrated tactics, Deescalation; Handgun qualification; Impact weapons; Bias free policing; Taser; Defensive tactics; and Less lethal. No training pertinent to the inquest was implicated prior to 8:55 a.m. on the day of the shooting or after Ms. Lyles' death at 10:18 a.m.

7. Evidence Outside the Scope of this Inquest.

Although investigation and discovery have generated much information about Ms. Lyles, nothing in the inquest order makes relevant Ms. Lyles' interactions with Brettler Place management; interactions with Brettler Place residents; history of domestic violence; involvement with the court system; use, possession, or sale of drugs; care she provided or failed to provide her children; mental health evaluations; or other prior interactions she may have had with CPS or family members and whether she availed herself of services/assistance offered to her. Evidence involving any of these issues should be excluded as irrelevant, outside the scope of this inquest, and unfairly prejudicial to the officers and City.

CONCLUSION

The City asks the Administrator to issue an order that the relevant time period is limited to June 18, 2017 and beginning at 8:55 a.m. when Ms. Lyles made a call to 911 through the time that Officers Schickler, Barton and Ladd administered CPR.

Other parties to this inquest may seek to expand the scope of this tribunal's inquiry based upon expansive investigation conducted in the civil litigation. The inquest fact finding is distinguished from the much broader scope involved with pending personal injury claims brought by the paternal side of the Lyles family alleging officer and City negligence. There, with respect to each officer and the City, the personal representative must prove duty, breach, proximate causation and damages sustained by the estate and statutory beneficiaries.

Here, the inquest, under the 2018 Executive Order and appendices, continues to contemplate a limited inquiry which looks at nothing more than the cause, manner, and circumstance of death, the SPD policies limited to cause, manner, and circumstances of death, and whether the officers complied with them – and nothing more.

No persuasive basis exists to extend the scope of this inquest to cover events occurring before Ms. Lyles contacted 911. Evidence bearing on facts not known to Officers Anderson or McNew on the day of the incident should be excluded as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, and beyond the scope of the inquest.

The City asks that the Administrator issue an order consistent with the scope in terms of time, potentially relevant policies and training as presented in this briefing.

DATED this 1st day of October, 2019.

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney

By: /s/ Jeffrey Wolf

Jeffrey Wolf, WSBA# 20107 Ghazal Sharifi, WSBA# 47750 Assistant City Attorneys

E-Mail: <u>Jeff.Wolf@seattle.gov</u> E-Mail: <u>Ghazal.Sharifi@seattle.gov</u>

Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: (206) 684-8200

Attorneys for City of Seattle

to be served on the following in the manner indicated below:

I certify that on the 1st day of October, 2019, I caused a true and correct copy of this document

7

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(x) Electronic Delivery Dee Sylve Inquest Program Manager Dee.Sylve@kingcounty.gov **DES-Dept.** of Executive Services 401 5th Ave., suite 131 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 477-6191 Matt Anderson (x) Electronic Delivery **Inquest Program Attorney** Matt.Anderson@kingcounty.gov **DES-Dept.** of Executive Services 401 5th Ave., suite 131 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 263-7568 Karen Koehler (x) Electronic Delivery Melanie Nguyen Karenk@stritmatter.com Lisa Benedetti Melanie@stritmatter.com 3600 15th Ave W Ste 300 Lisa@stritmatter.com elodie@stritmatter.com Seattle, WA 98119-1330 (206) 448-1777 anner@stritmatter.com [Attorneys for the Lyles Family] (x) Electronic Delivery emoore@ehmpc.com Edward H. Moore Law Offices of Edward H Moore PC, Attorney for Lyles Estate 3600 15th Ave W Ste 300 Seattle, WA 98119-1330 (206) 826-8214 [Attorneys for the Lyles Family] **Personal Representative of the Estate** (x) Electronic Delivery of Charleena Lyles Ericwatness1@gmail.com Commissioner Eric Watness Corev Guilmette (x) Electronic Delivery Public Defender Association corey.guilmette@defender.org

110 Prefontaine Pl. S, Suite 502

1	Seattle, WA 98104-2626	
2	(206) 392-0050 EXT 711	
	[Attorneys for the Lyles Family]	
3	Prachi Dave	(x) Electronic Delivery
4	Public Defender Association	prachi.dave@defender.org
_	110 Prefontaine Pl. S, Suite 502	
5	Seattle, WA 98104-2626 (610) 517-9062	
6	(610) 617 3002	
_	[Attorneys for the Lyles Family]	
7	Karen Cobb	(x) Electronic Delivery
8	Frey Buck, P.S.	kcobb@freybuck.com
9	1200 5th Ave, Ste 1900 Seattle, WA 98101-3135	
	(206) 486-8000	
10		
11	[Attorney for Officer Steven McNew]	
	Ted Buck	(x) Electronic Delivery
12	Frey Buck, P.S.	tbuck@freybuck.com
13	1200 5th Ave, Ste 1900 Seattle, WA 98101-3135	lsmith@freybuck.com mkniffen@freybuck.com
	(206) 486-8000	MRiley@freybuck.com
14	Paralegals: Lisa Smith Matthew Kniffen	
15	Megan Riley	
1.0		
16	[Attorney for Officer Jason Anderson]	
17	Rebecca Boatright	(x) Electronic Delivery
10	Executive Director for Seattle Police	Rebecca.Boatright@Seatttle.gov
18	Dept. Attorney for Chief Best	
19	Seattle City Attorney's Office	
20	701 5th Ave Ste 2050 Seattle, WA 98104-7095	
20	Scattic, WA 70104-7073	
21	/s/ Jennifer Litfin	
22	Jennifer Litfin, Legal As	sistant

23