

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF WASHINGTON
KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

Case No. 517IQ9301

INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF
CHARLEENA CHAVON LYLES,
Deceased.

DECLARATION OF LISA DAUGAARD

I, Lisa Daugaard, declare as follows under penalty of perjury:

1. I am competent to testify and make this declaration based on my personal knowledge.
2. During 2018, the Public Defender Association, as part of a coalition of 17 community organizations and seven individuals (“Community Inquest Reform Coalition”), was in close communication with the King County Executive’s Office related to the Executive’s announced intention to reform the inquest executive order. The coalition remained in close contact with the Executive’s Office in the days leading up to the signing of the Executive Order until the Order was signed on October 3, 2018, exchanging draft executive orders and discussing any changes to the substance of the inquest executive order.

- 1 3. On October 1, 2018, I received an email from Gina Topp, Chief Legal Counsel and
2 Policy Advisor for King County Executive Dow Constantine. In that email, Ms. Topp
3 included a draft inquest executive order for the coalition's review. Ms. Topp asked if,
4 should the proposed inquest rules be agreeable to the coalition, coalition members would
5 attend and speak at the press conference two days later. In her email, Ms. Topp
6 mentioned that important aspects of the executive order had been changed. In the
7 attached draft inquest executive order, Appendix 2, § 2.3 read as follows, "The law
8 enforcement member(s), if known, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present,
9 provided that the law enforcement member(s) elect(s) to participate in the inquest
10 proceeding and offer testimony subject to examination by the other participating parties."
11 Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Ms. Topp's October 1, 2018 email and
12 the attached draft executive order.
- 13 4. On October 1, 2018, my colleague Corey Guilmette sent an email, on which I was
14 copied, to Ms. Topp, on behalf of the Community Inquest Reform Coalition, suggesting
15 two changes to the draft inquest executive order. Attached to that email was a redline
16 copy of the draft executive order containing the two proposed changes. Attached as
17 Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the October 1, 2018 email to Ms. Topp and the
18 attached draft executive order redline.
- 19 5. On October 2, 2018, Ms. Topp sent an email with a revised draft inquest executive order,
20 confirming that the two requested, substantive changes had been accepted and included in
21 the revised executive order. There were several changes in the wording of the draft
22 inquest executive order from the October 1, 2018 redline sent by Mr. Guilmette, but all
23 the substance of the Executive Order remained the same, including Appendix 2, § 2.3.
24
25

1 Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of Ms. Topp's October 2, 2018 email and
2 the attached draft executive order.

3 6. On October 2, 2018, Mr. Guilmette sent an email, on which I was copied, to Ms. Topp. In
4 that email, he told Ms. Topp that the draft inquest executive order was agreeable to the
5 Community Inquest Reform Coalition. Based on the shared understanding between the
6 Executive's Office and the Coalition as to the substance of the Executive Order, he
7 communicated that the Coalition would work with the Executive's Office to figure out
8 how it could be of support. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the
9 October 2, 2018 email to Ms. Topp.

10 7. Later that day, Ms. Topp sent an email thanking Mr. Guilmette. She did not mention that
11 there had been any substantive changes to the draft inquest executive order. Attached as
12 Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the October 2, 2018 email sent by Ms. Topp.

13 8. On October 3, 2018, I attended the press conference unveiling the new inquest executive
14 order. Diane Narasaki spoke at the press conference on behalf of the Community Inquest
15 Reform Coalition, participation which was based on the above understanding of the
16 nature of changes leading up to the final Order.

17 9. I observed that the Executive's Office made efforts to find resolution on contested issues
18 between the Community Inquest Reform Coalition and law enforcement organizations. I
19 participated in an in-person meeting convened by the Executive's Office, including Ms.
20 Topp, in which the Washington State Fraternal Order of Police and the King County
21 Chiefs' Association were represented, along with several Community Coalition
22 organizations. At that meeting, which was collegial and resulted in the resolution of
23 several differences between the groups in attendance, the specific topic of counsel for
24 officers participating when officers declined to participate arose. One of the law
25

1 enforcement groups identified that as a change they'd like to see. It was clear in that
2 discussion, however, that this would be a strong point of contention for the Community
3 Coalition, which had agreed to support a number of other changes the law enforcement
4 groups wanted. In light of this particular discussion, I consider it highly unlikely that Ms.
5 Topp would have overlooked a substantive change in the Order on this point, failed to
6 call it to our attention, or attempted to minimize or conceal its actual significance. That
7 would not have been in keeping with the spirit of transparency and frankness with which
8 the Executive's Office engaged the various parties during these discussions, in which
9 there was not complete agreement but there was a value placed on clarity of position and
10 mutual understanding of where each party stood.

11
12 The above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

13
14 DATED this 10th day of September, 2019.

15
16 

17 Lisa Dugaard
18 *Director*
19 Public Defender Association
20 110 Prefontaine Pl. S., Suite 502
21 Seattle, WA 98104

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies under the penalty of perjury according to the laws of the United States and the State of Washington that on this date I caused to be served in the manner noted below a copy of this document entitled **DECLARATION OF LISA DAUGAARD** on the following individuals:

Karen Cobb
Frey Buck, P.S.
1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1900
Seattle, WA 98101
kcobb@freybuck.com
(206) 486-8000
Attorney for Seattle Police Department
Officer Steven McNew

Ted Buck
Frey Buck, P.S.
1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1900
Seattle, WA 98101
tbuck@freybuck.com
(206) 486-8000
Attorney for Seattle Police Department
Officer Jason Anderson

Dee Sylve
DES-Dept. of Executive Services
401 5th Ave., suite 131
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 477-6191
Dee.Sylve@kingcounty.gov
Inquest Program Manager

Edward H. Moore
Law Offices of Edward H Moore PC
3600 15th Ave W Ste 300
Seattle, WA 98119-1330
(206) 826-8214
emoore@ehmpc.com
Attorney for the family of Charleena
Lyles

Matt Anderson
(206) 263-7568
matt.anderson@kingcounty.gov
Pro-Tem Attorney

Ghazal Sharifi
Seattle City Attorney's Office
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
Seattle, WA 98104-7097
206-684-8217
ghazal.sharifi@seattle.gov
Attorney for the City of Seattle

Karen Koehler
Stritmatter Kessler Koehler Moore
3600 15th Ave W Ste 300
Seattle, WA 98119-1330
(206) 448-1777
Karenk@stritmatter.com
Attorney for the family of Charleena
Lyles

Jeff Wolf
Seattle City Attorney's Office
701 5th Ave Ste 2050
Seattle, WA 98104-7095
Jeff.Wolf@Seattle.gov
Attorney for the City of Seattle

1 Rebecca Boatright
2 Seattle Police Department
3 610 5th Ave.
4 P.O. Box 34986
5 Seattle, WA 98124
6 rebecca.boatright@seattle.gov
7 (206) 233-5023
8 *Seattle Police Department, Executive*
9 *Director of Legal Affairs*

6 [] Via Facsimile
7 [X] Via Electronic Mail
8 [] Via Messenger

9 DATED this 10th day of September, 2019.

10 s/ Corey Guilmette

11 Corey Guilmette, WSBA #51165
12 Public Defender Association
13 110 Prefontaine Pl. S, Suite 502
14 Seattle, WA 98104
15 Telephone: (206) 641-5334
16 E-mail: corey.guilmette@defender.org
17 Attorney for Family of Charleena Lyles

Exhibit 1



Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>

Fwd: DRAFT executive order

Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 9:30 AM

To: Corey Guilmette <Corey.guilmette@defender.org>, "Diane Narasaki (dianen@acrs.org)" <dianen@acrs.org>

I haven't read yet. After you get a chance to take a look, Corey, could you summarize what's going on? How would you all like to proceed to try to decide our stance?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Topp, Gina** <GTopp@kingcounty.gov>

Date: Monday, October 1, 2018

Subject: DRAFT executive order

To: Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Lisa-

Thank you for the quick call today. Welcome out of the grant vortex.

As discussed attached is the draft inquest executive order for the coalition's review. As I mentioned some important aspects have been changed. Please get me any feedback ASAP.

If the coalition can get lined up in support it would be great to have representatives from the coalition attend/speak at the press event this Wednesday, October 3 at 2pm.

Let me know if there is any more information or context I can get to you.

Best-

Gina Topp

Chief Legal Counsel and Policy Advisor

King County Executive Dow Constantine

D: 206.477.2173

M: 206.271.1832

gtopp@kingcounty.gov

--

9/9/2019

The Defender Association Mail - Fwd: DRAFT executive order

Lisa Daugaard
Director

Public Defender Association
110 Prefontaine Place South, Suite 502
Seattle WA 98104
206-392-0050 ext #729
lisa.daugaard@defender.org

www.defender.org
www.leadbureau.org



 **Inquest Edits 9.5.docx**
44K

Document Code No.:

Department/Issuing Agency: County Executive Office

Effective Date: October ____, 2018

Approved: /s/ Dow Constantine

Type of Action: Supersedes PHL 7-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County" April 29, 2002

WHEREAS, Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 36.24 authorizes the county coroner to summon a jury to inquire into the death of a person by suspicious circumstances; and

WHEREAS, Section 895 of the King County Charter provides, as amended, that an inquest shall be held to find facts and review the circumstances of any death involving a member of the law enforcement agency of the county in the performance of the member's duties or in the exercise of the member's authority; and

WHEREAS, King County Code (KCC) Chapter 2.35A created a division of the medical examiner within the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health and assigned to it most of the coroner's duties under RCW Chapter 36.24, "except for the holding of inquests, which function is vested in the County Executive" under KCC 2.35A.090.B; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, in exercising the authority to hold inquests, has discretion to determine how inquest proceedings are to be conducted, and to delegate the duty of presiding over an inquest to another impartial public official; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive retains the ultimate responsibility for the exercise of the inquest power and the performance of the delegated duty.

NOW, THEREFORE, I Dow Constantine, King County Executive do hereby order, direct, and implement the following policy and procedures for conducting an Inquest, at appendices 1 and 2.

Appendix 1 – Conducting Inquests in King County:

Conducting Inquests in King County

1.0. SUBJECT TITLE

Conducting Inquests in King County.

2.0. PURPOSE

To establish policies and procedures for conducting reviews into the facts and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of the member’s duties [and/or the exercise of member’s authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive.

The purpose of the inquest is to ensure a full, fair, and transparent review of any such death, and to issue findings of fact regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding the death. The review will result in the issuance of findings regarding the cause and manner of death, and whether the law enforcement member acted pursuant to policy and training.

The purpose of the inquest is not to determine whether the law enforcement member acted in good faith, should be disciplined or otherwise held accountable, or to otherwise find fault, or to determine if the use of force was justified, or to determine civil or criminal liability, although it is acknowledged that the facts determined in the course of the inquest may sometimes have an indirect bearing on such determinations.

3.0. ORGANIZATIONS AFFECTED

King County Department of Public Defense; King County Executive; King County Prosecuting Attorney; King County Superior Court; King County Superior Court Administration; King County Medical Examiner’s Office; King County Department of Executive Services; Law Enforcement agencies within King County.

4.0. REFERENCES

4.1. RCW 36.24 Counties; County Coroner.

4.2. King County Charter, Section 320.20 – The Executive Branch, Powers and Duties.

4.3. King County Charter, Section 895 – General Provisions: Mandatory Inquests.

4.4. King County Code 2.35A.090(B).

5.0 DEFINITIONS

5.1. “King County Executive” or “County Executive” means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as the County Executive of King County pursuant to Article 3 of the King County Charter.

5.2. “King County Prosecuting Attorney” means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as Prosecuting Attorney for King County pursuant to Article XI, Section 5 of the Washington State Constitution.

5.3. “Inquest” means an administrative, fact-finding inquiry into and review of the manner, facts and circumstances in the death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive, where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees.

5.4. “Law enforcement agency” means any agency having police powers as authorized under Washington State law. For the purposes of this policy, “a member of any law enforcement agency” shall mean commissioned officers and non-commissioned staff of all local and state police forces, jails, and corrections agencies.

5.5. “Attorney representing the family of the deceased” means a privately-retained or publicly funded attorney, pursuant to KC Ordinance 18652.

5.6. “Rules of Evidence” means the evidentiary rules adopted by the Supreme Court of the State of Washington governing proceedings in the courts of the State of Washington, and such rules as may be adopted by the King County administrator pursuant to KCC 20.22.

5.7. “Voir dire” means an examination of a prospective panel.

5.8. “In camera review” means an examination of materials by the administrator in private proceedings to rule on admissibility and use.

5.9. “Panel” refers to the jury provided by Superior Court Administration pursuant to RCW Chapter 36.24.

5.10. “Administrator” means the presider of the inquest proceeding.

5.11. “Manager” means the staff assigned to provide clerical support to the administrator.

5.12. “Pro tem attorney” means the pro tem attorney assigned to facilitate the inquest.

6.0 POLICIES

There shall be an inquest into the manner, facts, and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the

performance of his or her duties, and/or the exercise of his or her authority, and in any other case as occasionally determined by the County Executive where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees. While the term “involving” is to be construed broadly, there may be circumstances where law enforcement’s role is so minimal as to not warrant an inquest, or where for other reasons an inquest would impede the administration of justice. Factors to be considered include: whether a decision to prosecute has been made; whether the death was the result of a condition existing prior to and/or apart from the law enforcement involvement; whether the individual was in custody at the time of the death; whether the family of the deceased desire an inquest; and any other factor that touches on the connection between the manner of death and the actions of law enforcement. However, the public has a strong interest in a full and transparent review of the circumstances surrounding the death of an individual involving law enforcement, so an inquest will ordinarily be held.

7.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

7.1. The King County Prosecuting Attorney shall inform the King County Executive whenever an investigation into a death involving a member of any law enforcement agency in King County is complete and also advise whether an inquest should be initiated pursuant to the King County Charter. If the King County Prosecuting Attorney advises that an inquest may be initiated, the King County Prosecuting Attorney shall (a) supply a complete copy of the investigative file to the manager; (b) respond to requests for public disclosure of the investigative file; and (c) issue subpoenas to witnesses and/or for records at the administrator’s request.

7.2. The King County Executive shall determine whether an inquest will be held. If an inquest is to be held, the County Executive shall direct that the administrator conduct the inquest on the Executive’s behalf. The County Executive shall also request that the King County Superior Court and the Clerk of the Court facilitate the inquest by (a) supplying a jury, which shall be referred to as a panel; and (b) supplying appropriate facilities, including audio recording equipment. The inquest shall be conducted pursuant to this Executive Order and to RCW 36.24, as amended.

8.0 PROCEDURES

Action By: Prosecuting Attorney

8.1. Receives information from law enforcement agencies within King County of a death of an individual involving law enforcement that may require an inquest.

8.2. Promptly informs the County Executive of such a death.

8.3. Reviews the information and the investigative file and advises the County Executive as to whether an inquest should be initiated.

8.4. Upon request of the County Executive, forwards the investigative file and the documentation to the manager.

8.5. Upon request by the administrator, issue subpoenas for witnesses and/or documents; except that a subpoena shall not be issued to the individual law enforcement officer who was directly involved in an individual's death while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority].

Action By: County Executive

8.6. Upon receiving the King County Prosecuting Attorney's advisory opinion, determine whether to hold an inquest.

8.7. If an inquest is to be held, then direct that the administrator to conduct the inquest.

Action By: Superior Court

8.8. If an inquest is to be held, the manager, with collaboration from the Superior Court, shall coordinate to supply a panel, recorder, and facilities pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

Action By: Administrator

8.9. Schedule a date for the inquest and conduct the inquest according to the procedures in Appendix 9.1.

Action By: Department of Public Defense

8.10. Assign counsel for the family of the decedent unless the family indicates they have retained other counsel or do not wish to be represented by the King County Department of Public Defense. The Department of Public Defense will not be assigned in cases, where family is represented by private counsel.

9.0. APPENDICES

Procedures for Conducting Inquests.

10.0 PRIOR ORDERS

This Executive Order rescinds and replaces PHL 7-1-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County," dated March 16, 2010.

Dates this ____ day of _____, 2018

ATTEST:

If an inquest is to be held, the King County administrator shall conduct the review in accordance with these procedures.

1.0 FACILITIES/COURTROOM

1.1. The inquest is an administrative hearing intended to be a fact-finding, non-adversarial process. However, the King County Superior Court administers the jury process and maintains facilities appropriate to comfortably support a jury. Therefore, where requested by the County Executive, the Superior Court will coordinate with the administrator to secure appropriate facilities, e.g., the presiding courtroom. The administrator shall arrange the room in a manner that promotes transparency to the public and fair treatment of all participating parties. Where practicable, the facility will provide space for the public, private conference rooms for parties and their counsel, and a private space for family members of the deceased.

2.0 PARTICIPATING PARTIES

- 2.2. The family of the deceased, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present;
- 2.3. The law enforcement member(s), if known, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present, provided that the law enforcement member(s) elect(s) to participate in the inquest proceeding and offer testimony subject to examination by the other participating parties.
- 2.4. The employing government department shall be allowed to be represented by its statutory attorney or lawfully appointed designee.
- 2.5. The manager shall assign a pro tem attorney whose role shall be to assist the administrator.

3.0 ROLE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR/SCOPE OF THE INQUEST

- 3.1. The administrator shall conduct the inquest. While the proceedings are quasi-judicial in nature, with represented parties, the presentation of evidence through direct and cross-examination are subject to the Rules of Evidence, the administrator shall strive to promote an atmosphere consistent with administrative fact-finding and strive to minimize delay, cost, and burden to participants, while promoting fair and open proceedings. Although an inquest is not a court proceeding, the administrator shall be guided by open courts principles and GR 16.
- 3.2. The administrator, after consultation with the participating parties, shall determine the inquest scope. Consistent with the purpose as set forth in the amended Charter, Executive Order, and Appendix 1, the inquest scope will include an inquiry into and the panel shall make findings regarding the cause, manner and circumstances of the death. The scope will also

include what is the current involved law enforcement agency policy. The panel shall make findings regarding whether the law enforcement officer complied with applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death.

3.3. The Rules of Evidence shall generally apply, but may be supplemented and/or modified by additional rules governing administrative proceedings, at the discretion of the administrator. The administrator shall construe the Rules of Evidence in a manner consistent with the goal of administrative fact-finding proceedings and to promote fairness and to minimize the delays, costs, and burden that can be associated with judicial proceedings.

4.0 DISCOVERY AND ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

4.1. Discoverable material shall be exchanged among the administrator and any pro tem attorney; the attorney representing the family of the deceased; the attorney representing the jurisdiction employing the involved law enforcement member(s), and the attorney representing the involved law enforcement member(s).

4.2. Discovery materials are to be used by the attorneys solely for the inquest proceeding. Such materials include the police and/or agency investigative file of the incident that resulted in the death. They also include the report of the Medical Examiner, crime laboratory reports, and the names, addresses and summaries and/or copies of statements of any witnesses obtained by any party.

4.3. In the event that confidential materials in the possession of any person or agency are sought for use in the inquest, the administrator, upon a prima facie showing of necessity, relevancy, and lack of an alternative source for the materials, shall examine the materials in camera. These materials may include, and the administrator shall have the discretion to consider the admissibility and use of, information that may be relevant to the incident, e.g., the complaint, investigation, and disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved; the criminal history of the decedent; and prior interactions, if any, between the decedent and the law enforcement member(s) involved. The legal representative of the person or agency in possession of the materials shall have the right to participate in the review of these materials.

4.4. The decedent's criminal history may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the reason for an arrest, detention, or use of force (e.g. officers are arresting an individual convicted of a felony who they believe is carrying a firearm). If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible and may not include the specific crime of conviction, the nature of the crime (e.g. violent or nonviolent), the deceased's incarceration history, or any other criminal charge, unless the administrator makes a specific finding of relevance to a contested issue in the inquest; that the evidence of criminal history serves as the basis for an officer safety caution (or equivalent warning); and that the member of the law enforcement agency was aware of the officer safety caution prior to any use of force; or if otherwise, contemporaneous knowledge of the individual's criminal history was relevant to the actions the officer(s) took or how the officer(s)

assessed whether the person posed a threat. If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible, and may only include information both actually known to officer(s) at the time, and actually forming a basis for their decision to use deadly force or their tactics in approaching the individual.

4.5. The disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the use of force. If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible.

4.6. Protective orders may be used to limit discovery, and the administrator may order the return of all discretionarily-ordered discovery.

5.0. SCHEDULE AND PRE-INQUEST CONFERENCE

5.1. It is in the best interest of affected parties and the community to hold the inquest in a timely manner. The administrator will work to drive timeliness and limit unnecessary delays; and extensions shall be limited and granted only upon a showing of good cause.

5.2. The manager shall schedule pre-inquest conferences with the participating parties. The administrator will obtain proposed witness and exhibit lists, proposed panel instructions, inquest time estimates, and will inquire whether any special needs such as interpreters should be accommodated. The conference shall be public unless compelling circumstances require an in camera hearing, in which case the administrator must make findings of fact and conclusions of Law justifying such measures under Washington law.

5.3. The administrator shall solicit proposed stipulations of fact from both parties and work diligently to narrow the scope of inquiry at the hearing. The administrator shall share the stipulated facts with the panel at the start of the inquest.

5.4. The administrator shall instruct the panel on the panel instructions at the start of the inquest.

5.5. The manager shall maintain a website publishing the schedule for the inquest, publish the stipulated facts and, where possible, were possible inquest recordings.

6.0. PANEL POOL

The administrator shall select the panel from the regular Superior Court juror pool pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

7.0. PANEL QUESTIONING (VOIR DIRE)

7.1. The administrator shall conduct panel questioning (voir dire), after consultation with the participating parties.

7.2. There is no set limit to the number of panelists who may be excused by the administrator, and panelists may be excused for cause and/or because serving on the inquest panel will present a hardship to the panelist.

8.0. PANEL QUESTIONS FOR PARTICIPANTS

8.1. After all parties have had an opportunity to examine a witness, panelists are allowed to submit questions to the administrator the panel wishes to pose to the witness. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine whether to submit the question to the witness and the manner of the submission.

9.0. RECORDING

The administrator shall ensure that the inquest proceedings are audio recorded and that the audio recordings are made accessible to the public to the greatest extent consistent with GR 16.

10.0. MEDIA GUIDELINES

Consistent with Section 9, above, the administrator shall make the proceedings available to the public and to the media.

11.0. ORDER OF PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

11.1. The administrator through the pro tem attorney has the first opportunity to introduce witnesses and evidence. The parties may then each introduce their own witnesses and evidence.

11.2. The administrator, after consultation with the parties, may decide the order of presentation of evidence and witnesses. The administrator may direct that the appointed pro tem attorney conduct the initial examination of each witness.

11.3. The administrator will make rulings on the admissibility of evidence and testimony based on the Rules of Evidence and these procedures.

12.0. WITNESSES AND TESTIMONY

12.1. Each party, including the administrator, through the pro tem attorney, may proffer its own witnesses to provide testimony that aids the panel in the understanding of the facts.

12.2. The administrator shall base rulings on the admissibility of such testimony on the proposed witness's qualifications, on the Rules of Evidence, and on these procedures. Testimony regarding what changes should be made to existing policy, procedure, and training is not permitted.

12.3. The employing government department shall designate an official(s) to provide a comprehensive overview of the forensic investigation into the incident (e.g., statements collected by investigators, investigators' review of forensic evidence, physical evidence

investigators collected, etc.). Additionally, the chief law enforcement officer of the involved agency or Director of the employing government department shall provide testimony concerning applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death; but not whether employees' actions related to the death were pursuant to training and policy; or any conclusions the department reached about whether the employee's actions were within policy and training.

12.4. The inquest is intended to be a transparent process to inform the public of the circumstances of the death of a person that involved a representative of government. As such, there is a strong presumption against the exclusion of witnesses, and relevant, non-cumulative witnesses should only be excluded by the administrator in exceptional circumstances.

12.5. At the conclusion of testimony, the administrator will solicit from the pro tem attorney and/or from the participating parties additional submissions of proposed stipulated facts. The administrator will determine which, if any, proposed stipulated facts should be submitted to the panel.

13.0. STATEMENTS OF SUMMATION

The pro tem attorney and the participating parties may offer statement of summation only if preapproved by the administrator. Statements must be consistent with the fact-finding purpose of the inquest and must not suggest conclusions of law or bear on fault.

14.0. PANEL QUESTIONS

14.1. After the conclusion of testimony, each party shall submit to the administrator proposed questions for the panel. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine which questions are within the scope to submit to the panel. Prior to the statement of summation, the administrator shall provide the panel with the list of questions.

14.2. The inquest administrator shall give written instructions to the panel and shall submit questions to be answered, subject to the limitations of Section 3 (above) and keeping in mind the purpose of an inquest. The administrator shall instruct the panel that it may not comment on fault, nor justification- including mental state of the involved officer(s), nor on the criminal or civil liability of a person or agency.

14.3. Beyond these limitations, the panel shall not be confined to the stipulated facts, but may consider any testimony or evidence presented during the inquest proceeding. In answering any question, the panel may not consider any information it has learned outside of the inquest.

14.4. Questions submitted to the panel must provide three response options: "yes," "no," and "unknown." A panelist shall respond "yes" when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the question in the affirmative. A panelist shall respond "no" when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the question in the negative. A panelist shall respond "unknown" if either (1) the weight of the

evidence equally supports responding to the question in the affirmative and the negative or (2) not enough evidence was presented to allow the panelist to answer the question in the affirmative or the negative.

14.5. The panel shall deliberate and panelists shall exchange their interpretations of the evidence. However, the panel need not reach unanimity and each panelist shall be instructed to answer the questions individually.

14.6. After every question, each panelist shall have the opportunity to provide a written explanation of the panelist's answer. The administrator shall direct each panelist that the panelist need only provide a written explanation when the panelist believes that a written explanation would provide information helpful in explaining or interpreting the panelist's answer.

15.0. FINDINGS

15.1. The administrator shall transmit the panel's findings to the County Executive.

15.2. The administrator shall ensure the findings and recommendations are published on its website along with the inquest recording.

16. ANNUAL REVIEW

16.1. The manager will submit a report to the County Executive at the end of each year on the operations of the inquests.

16.2. The County Executive will call for a periodic review of the inquest process by an independent review committee to determine if the inquest process is conforming to updated laws and is adequately meeting the principals of transparency, community engagement, and respect for all those involved in the inquest process.

Exhibit 2



Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>

Inquest Rules Redline

Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>
To: "Topp, Gina" <GTopp@kingcounty.gov>
Cc: Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 4:30 PM

Hi Gina,

Attached is a redline with the two changes we discussed. I added some language to clarify that the family only forgoes DPD representation if they have private, inquest counsel. I also added language to specifically permit witnesses in factual areas of special expertise. Given that such witnesses were not permitted in the past, in order to ensure the rules are implemented as intended, I think it is helpful to specifically state that such witnesses are permitted.

Thanks so much for all your work on this!

Best,
Corey

--

Corey Guilmette
Staff Attorney



110 Prefontaine Place S, Suite 502
Seattle, WA 98104
Mobile: (206) 641-5334
corey.guilmette@defender.org
Website: www.defender.org

 **Inquest Edits 9.5 Coalition Redline.docx**
44K

Document Code No.:

Department/Issuing Agency: County Executive Office

Effective Date: October __, 2018

Approved: /s/ Dow Constantine

Type of Action: Supersedes PHL 7-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County" April 29, 2002

WHEREAS, Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 36.24 authorizes the county coroner to summon a jury to inquire into the death of a person by suspicious circumstances; and

WHEREAS, Section 895 of the King County Charter provides, as amended, that an inquest shall be held to find facts and review the circumstances of any death involving a member of the law enforcement agency of the county in the performance of the member's duties or in the exercise of the member's authority; and

WHEREAS, King County Code (KCC) Chapter 2.35A created a division of the medical examiner within the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health and assigned to it most of the coroner's duties under RCW Chapter 36.24, "except for the holding of inquests, which function is vested in the County Executive" under KCC 2.35A.090.B; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, in exercising the authority to hold inquests, has discretion to determine how inquest proceedings are to be conducted, and to delegate the duty of presiding over an inquest to another impartial public official; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive retains the ultimate responsibility for the exercise of the inquest power and the performance of the delegated duty.

NOW, THEREFORE, I Dow Constantine, King County Executive do hereby order, direct, and implement the following policy and procedures for conducting an Inquest, at appendices 1 and 2.

Appendix 1 – Conducting Inquests in King County:

Conducting Inquests in King County

1.0. SUBJECT TITLE

Conducting Inquests in King County.

2.0. PURPOSE

To establish policies and procedures for conducting reviews into the facts and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of the member’s duties [and/or the exercise of member’s authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive.

The purpose of the inquest is to ensure a full, fair, and transparent review of any such death, and to issue findings of fact regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding the death. The review will result in the issuance of findings regarding the cause and manner of death, and whether the law enforcement member acted pursuant to policy and training.

The purpose of the inquest is not to determine whether the law enforcement member acted in good faith, should be disciplined or otherwise held accountable, or to otherwise find fault, or to determine if the use of force was justified, or to determine civil or criminal liability, although it is acknowledged that the facts determined in the course of the inquest may sometimes have an indirect bearing on such determinations.

3.0. ORGANIZATIONS AFFECTED

King County Department of Public Defense; King County Executive; King County Prosecuting Attorney; King County Superior Court; King County Superior Court Administration; King County Medical Examiner’s Office; King County Department of Executive Services; Law Enforcement agencies within King County.

4.0. REFERENCES

- 4.1. RCW 36.24 Counties; County Coroner.
- 4.2. King County Charter, Section 320.20 – The Executive Branch, Powers and Duties.
- 4.3. King County Charter, Section 895 – General Provisions: Mandatory Inquests.
- 4.4. King County Code 2.35A.090(B).

5.0 DEFINITIONS

5.1. "King County Executive" or "County Executive" means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as the County Executive of King County pursuant to Article 3 of the King County Charter.

5.2. "King County Prosecuting Attorney" means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as Prosecuting Attorney for King County pursuant to Article XI, Section 5 of the Washington State Constitution.

5.3. "Inquest" means an administrative, fact-finding inquiry into and review of the manner, facts and circumstances in the death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive, where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees.

5.4. "Law enforcement agency" means any agency having police powers as authorized under Washington State law. For the purposes of this policy, "a member of any law enforcement agency" shall mean commissioned officers and non-commissioned staff of all local and state police forces, jails, and corrections agencies.

5.5. "Attorney representing the family of the deceased" means a privately-retained or publicly funded attorney, pursuant to KC Ordinance 18652.

5.6. "Rules of Evidence" means the evidentiary rules adopted by the Supreme Court of the State of Washington governing proceedings in the courts of the State of Washington, and such rules as may be adopted by the King County administrator pursuant to KCC 20.22.

5.7. "Voir dire" means an examination of a prospective panel.

5.8. "In camera review" means an examination of materials by the administrator in private proceedings to rule on admissibility and use.

5.9. "Panel" refers to the jury provided by Superior Court Administration pursuant to RCW Chapter 36.24.

5.10. "Administrator" means the presider of the inquest proceeding.

5.11. "Manager" means the staff assigned to provide clerical support to the administrator.

5.12. "Pro tem attorney" means the pro tem attorney assigned to facilitate the inquest.

6.0 POLICIES

There shall be an inquest into the manner, facts, and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the

performance of his or her duties, and/or the exercise of his or her authority, and in any other case as occasionally determined by the County Executive where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees. While the term “involving” is to be construed broadly, there may be circumstances where law enforcement’s role is so minimal as to not warrant an inquest, or where for other reasons an inquest would impede the administration of justice. Factors to be considered include: whether a decision to prosecute has been made; whether the death was the result of a condition existing prior to and/or apart from the law enforcement involvement; whether the individual was in custody at the time of the death; whether the family of the deceased desire an inquest; and any other factor that touches on the connection between the manner of death and the actions of law enforcement. However, the public has a strong interest in a full and transparent review of the circumstances surrounding the death of an individual involving law enforcement, so an inquest will ordinarily be held.

7.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

7.1. The King County Prosecuting Attorney shall inform the King County Executive whenever an investigation into a death involving a member of any law enforcement agency in King County is complete and also advise whether an inquest should be initiated pursuant to the King County Charter. If the King County Prosecuting Attorney advises that an inquest may be initiated, the King County Prosecuting Attorney shall (a) supply a complete copy of the investigative file to the manager; (b) respond to requests for public disclosure of the investigative file; and (c) issue subpoenas to witnesses and/or for records at the administrator’s request.

7.2. The King County Executive shall determine whether an inquest will be held. If an inquest is to be held, the County Executive shall direct that the administrator conduct the inquest on the Executive’s behalf. The County Executive shall also request that the King County Superior Court and the Clerk of the Court facilitate the inquest by (a) supplying a jury, which shall be referred to as a panel; and (b) supplying appropriate facilities, including audio recording equipment. The inquest shall be conducted pursuant to this Executive Order and to RCW 36.24, as amended.

8.0 PROCEDURES

Action By: Prosecuting Attorney

8.1. Receives information from law enforcement agencies within King County of a death of an individual involving law enforcement that may require an inquest.

8.2. Promptly informs the County Executive of such a death.

8.3. Reviews the information and the investigative file and advises the County Executive as to whether an inquest should be initiated.

8.4. Upon request of the County Executive, forwards the investigative file and the documentation to the manager.

8.5. Upon request by the administrator, issue subpoenas for witnesses and/or documents; except that a subpoena shall not be issued to the individual law enforcement officer who was directly involved in an individual's death while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority].

Action By: County Executive

8.6. Upon receiving the King County Prosecuting Attorney's advisory opinion, determine whether to hold an inquest.

8.7. If an inquest is to be held, then direct that the administrator to conduct the inquest.

Action By: Superior Court

8.8. If an inquest is to be held, the manager, with collaboration from the Superior Court, shall coordinate to supply a panel, recorder, and facilities pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

Action By: Administrator

8.9. Schedule a date for the inquest and conduct the inquest according to the procedures in Appendix 9.1.

Action By: Department of Public Defense

8.10. Assign counsel for the family of the decedent unless the family indicates they have retained other inquest counsel or do not wish to be represented by the King County Department of Public Defense. The Department of Public Defense will not be assigned in cases where the family wishes to be represented by private counsel.

Deleted: ,
Deleted: is

9.0. APPENDICES

Procedures for Conducting Inquests.

10.0 PRIOR ORDERS

This Executive Order rescinds and replaces PHL 7-1-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County," dated March 16, 2010.

Dates this ____ day of _____, 2018

ATTEST:

Norm Alberg
Director, King County Records Licensing
Appendix 2 – Procedures for Conducting Inquests

If an inquest is to be held, the King County administrator shall conduct the review in accordance with these procedures.

1.0 FACILITIES/COURTROOM

1.1. The inquest is an administrative hearing intended to be a fact-finding, non-adversarial process. However, the King County Superior Court administers the jury process and maintains facilities appropriate to comfortably support a jury. Therefore, where requested by the County Executive, the Superior Court will coordinate with the administrator to secure appropriate facilities, e.g., the presiding courtroom. The administrator shall arrange the room in a manner that promotes transparency to the public and fair treatment of all participating parties. Where practicable, the facility will provide space for the public, private conference rooms for parties and their counsel, and a private space for family members of the deceased.

2.0 PARTICIPATING PARTIES

- 2.2. The family of the deceased, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present;
- 2.3. The law enforcement member(s), if known, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present, provided that the law enforcement member(s) elect(s) to participate in the inquest proceeding and offer testimony subject to examination by the other participating parties.
- 2.4. The employing government department shall be allowed to be represented by its statutory attorney or lawfully appointed designee.
- 2.5. The manager shall assign a pro tem attorney whose role shall be to assist the administrator.

3.0 ROLE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR/SCOPE OF THE INQUEST

3.1. The administrator shall conduct the inquest. While the proceedings are quasi-judicial in nature, with represented parties, the presentation of evidence through direct and cross-examination are subject to the Rules of Evidence, the administrator shall strive to promote an atmosphere consistent with administrative fact-finding and strive to minimize delay, cost, and burden to participants, while promoting fair and open proceedings. Although an inquest is not a court proceeding, the administrator shall be guided by open courts principles and GR 16.

3.2. The administrator, after consultation with the participating parties, shall determine the inquest scope. Consistent with the purpose as set forth in the amended Charter, Executive Order, and Appendix 1, the inquest scope will include an inquiry into and the panel shall make findings regarding the cause, manner and circumstances of the death. The scope will also

include what is the current involved law enforcement agency policy. The panel shall make findings regarding whether the law enforcement officer complied with applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death.

3.3. The Rules of Evidence shall generally apply, but may be supplemented and/or modified by additional rules governing administrative proceedings, at the discretion of the administrator. The administrator shall construe the Rules of Evidence in a manner consistent with the goal of administrative fact-finding proceedings and to promote fairness and to minimize the delays, costs, and burden that can be associated with judicial proceedings.

4.0 DISCOVERY AND ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

4.1. Discoverable material shall be exchanged among the administrator and any pro tem attorney; the attorney representing the family of the deceased; the attorney representing the jurisdiction employing the involved law enforcement member(s), and the attorney representing the involved law enforcement member(s).

4.2. Discovery materials are to be used by the attorneys solely for the inquest proceeding. Such materials include the police and/or agency investigative file of the incident that resulted in the death. They also include the report of the Medical Examiner, crime laboratory reports, and the names, addresses and summaries and/or copies of statements of any witnesses obtained by any party.

4.3. In the event that confidential materials in the possession of any person or agency are sought for use in the inquest, the administrator, upon a prima facie showing of necessity, relevancy, and lack of an alternative source for the materials, shall examine the materials in camera. These materials may include, and the administrator shall have the discretion to consider the admissibility and use of, information that may be relevant to the incident, e.g., the complaint, investigation, and disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved; the criminal history of the decedent; and prior interactions, if any, between the decedent and the law enforcement member(s) involved. The legal representative of the person or agency in possession of the materials shall have the right to participate in the review of these materials.

4.4. The decedent's criminal history may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the reason for an arrest, detention, or use of force (e.g. officers are arresting an individual convicted of a felony who they believe is carrying a firearm). If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible and may not include the specific crime of conviction, the nature of the crime (e.g. violent or nonviolent), the deceased's incarceration history, or any other criminal charge, unless the administrator makes a specific finding of relevance to a contested issue in the inquest; that the evidence of criminal history serves as the basis for an officer safety caution (or equivalent warning); and that the member of the law enforcement agency was aware of the officer safety caution prior to any use of force; or if otherwise, contemporaneous knowledge of the individual's criminal history was relevant to the actions the officer(s) took or how the officer(s)

assessed whether the person posed a threat. If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible, and may only include information both actually known to officer(s) at the time, and actually forming a basis for their decision to use deadly force or their tactics in approaching the individual.

4.5. The disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the use of force. If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible.

4.6. Protective orders may be used to limit discovery, and the administrator may order the return of all discretionarily-ordered discovery.

5.0. SCHEDULE AND PRE-INQUEST CONFERENCE

5.1. It is in the best interest of affected parties and the community to hold the inquest in a timely manner. The administrator will work to drive timeliness and limit unnecessary delays; and extensions shall be limited and granted only upon a showing of good cause.

5.2. The manager shall schedule pre-inquest conferences with the participating parties. The administrator will obtain proposed witness and exhibit lists, proposed panel instructions, inquest time estimates, and will inquire whether any special needs such as interpreters should be accommodated. The conference shall be public unless compelling circumstances require an in camera hearing, in which case the administrator must make findings of fact and conclusions of Law justifying such measures under Washington law.

5.3. The administrator shall solicit proposed stipulations of fact from both parties and work diligently to narrow the scope of inquiry at the hearing. The administrator shall share the stipulated facts with the panel at the start of the inquest.

5.4. The administrator shall instruct the panel on the panel instructions at the start of the inquest.

5.5. The manager shall maintain a website publishing the schedule for the inquest, publish the stipulated facts and, where possible, were possible inquest recordings.

6.0. PANEL POOL

The administrator shall select the panel from the regular Superior Court juror pool pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

7.0. PANEL QUESTIONING (VOIR DIRE)

7.1. The administrator shall conduct panel questioning (voir dire), after consultation with the participating parties.

7.2. There is no set limit to the number of panelists who may be excused by the administrator, and panelists may be excused for cause and/or because serving on the inquest panel will present a hardship to the panelist.

8.0. PANEL QUESTIONS FOR PARTICIPANTS

8.1. After all parties have had an opportunity to examine a witness, panelists are allowed to submit questions to the administrator the panel wishes to pose to the witness. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine whether to submit the question to the witness and the manner of the submission.

9.0. RECORDING

The administrator shall ensure that the inquest proceedings are audio recorded and that the audio recordings are made accessible to the public to the greatest extent consistent with GR 16.

10.0. MEDIA GUIDELINES

Consistent with Section 9, above, the administrator shall make the proceedings available to the public and to the media.

11.0. ORDER OF PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

11.1. The administrator through the pro tem attorney has the first opportunity to introduce witnesses and evidence. The parties may then each introduce their own witnesses and evidence.

11.2. The administrator, after consultation with the parties, may decide the order of presentation of evidence and witnesses. The administrator may direct that the appointed pro tem attorney conduct the initial examination of each witness.

11.3. The administrator will make rulings on the admissibility of evidence and testimony based on the Rules of Evidence and these procedures.

12.0. WITNESSES AND TESTIMONY

12.1. Each party, including the administrator, through the pro tem attorney, may proffer its own witnesses to provide testimony that aids the panel in the understanding of the facts, including factual areas of special expertise (e.g., ballistics, forensic medical examination, etc.).

Deleted: .

12.2. The administrator shall base rulings on the admissibility of such testimony on the proposed witness's qualifications, on the Rules of Evidence, and on these procedures. Testimony regarding what changes should be made to existing policy, procedure, and training is not permitted.

12.3. The employing government department shall designate an official(s) to provide a comprehensive overview of the forensic investigation into the incident (e.g., statements

collected by investigators, investigators' review of forensic evidence, physical evidence investigators collected, etc.). Additionally, the chief law enforcement officer of the involved agency or Director of the employing government department shall provide testimony concerning applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death; but not whether employees' actions related to the death were pursuant to training and policy; or any conclusions the department reached about whether the employee's actions were within policy and training.

12.4. The inquest is intended to be a transparent process to inform the public of the circumstances of the death of a person that involved a representative of government. As such, there is a strong presumption against the exclusion of witnesses, and relevant, non-cumulative witnesses should only be excluded by the administrator in exceptional circumstances.

12.5. At the conclusion of testimony, the administrator will solicit from the pro tem attorney and/or from the participating parties additional submissions of proposed stipulated facts. The administrator will determine which, if any, proposed stipulated facts should be submitted to the panel.

13.0. STATEMENTS OF SUMMATION

The pro tem attorney and the participating parties may offer statement of summation only if preapproved by the administrator. Statements must be consistent with the fact-finding purpose of the inquest and must not suggest conclusions of law or bear on fault.

14.0. PANEL QUESTIONS

14.1. After the conclusion of testimony, each party shall submit to the administrator proposed questions for the panel. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine which questions are within the scope to submit to the panel. Prior to the statement of summation, the administrator shall provide the panel with the list of questions.

14.2. The inquest administrator shall give written instructions to the panel and shall submit questions to be answered, subject to the limitations of Section 3 (above) and keeping in mind the purpose of an inquest. The administrator shall instruct the panel that it may not comment on fault, nor justification- including mental state of the involved officer(s), nor on the criminal or civil liability of a person or agency.

14.3. Beyond these limitations, the panel shall not be confined to the stipulated facts, but may consider any testimony or evidence presented during the inquest proceeding. In answering any question, the panel may not consider any information it has learned outside of the inquest.

14.4. Questions submitted to the panel must provide three response options: "yes," "no," and "unknown." A panelist shall respond "yes" when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the question in the affirmative. A panelist shall respond "no" when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the

question in the negative. A panelist shall respond “unknown” if either (1) the weight of the evidence equally supports responding to the question in the affirmative and the negative or (2) not enough evidence was presented to allow the panelist to answer the question in the affirmative or the negative.

14.5. The panel shall deliberate and panelists shall exchange their interpretations of the evidence. However, the panel need not reach unanimity and each panelist shall be instructed to answer the questions individually.

14.6. After every question, each panelist shall have the opportunity to provide a written explanation of the panelist’s answer. The administrator shall direct each panelist that the panelist need only provide a written explanation when the panelist believes that a written explanation would provide information helpful in explaining or interpreting the panelist’s answer.

15.0. FINDINGS

15.1. The administrator shall transmit the panel’s findings to the County Executive.

15.2. The administrator shall ensure the findings and recommendations are published on its website along with the inquest recording.

16. ANNUAL REVIEW

16.1. The manager will submit a report to the County Executive at the end of each year on the operations of the inquests.

16.2. The County Executive will call for a periodic review of the inquest process by an independent review committee to determine if the inquest process is conforming to updated laws and is adequately meeting the principals of transparency, community engagement, and respect for all those involved in the inquest process.

Exhibit 3



Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>

Inquest Rules Redline

Topp, Gina <GTopp@kingcounty.gov>
To: Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>
Cc: Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Tue, Oct 2, 2018 at 8:58 AM

Corey-

Thank you for your comments and for taking the time to speak with me this morning. Attached is an updated draft.

Best-

Gina Topp

Chief Legal Counsel and Policy Advisor
King County Executive Dow Constantine
D: 206.477.2173
M: 206.271.1832
gtopp@kingcounty.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Inquest Edits 9.5.docx**
46K

Document Code No.:

Department/Issuing Agency: County Executive Office

Effective Date: October ____, 2018

Approved: /s/ Dow Constantine

Type of Action: Supersedes PHL 7-1-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County" March 16, 2010

WHEREAS, Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 36.24 authorizes the county coroner to summon a jury to inquire into the death of a person by suspicious circumstances; and

WHEREAS, Section 895 of the King County Charter, as amended, provides that an inquest shall be held to find facts and review the circumstances of any death involving a member of the law enforcement agency of the county in the performance of the member's duties; and

WHEREAS, King County Code (KCC) Chapter 2.35A created a division of the medical examiner within the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health and assigned to it most of the coroner's duties under RCW Chapter 36.24, "except for the holding of inquests, which function is vested in the County Executive" under KCC 2.35A.090.B; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, in exercising the authority to hold inquests, has discretion to determine how inquest proceedings are to be conducted, and to delegate the duty of presiding over an inquest to another impartial public official; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive retains the ultimate responsibility for the exercise of the inquest power and the performance of the delegated duty.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Dow Constantine, King County Executive, do hereby order, direct, and implement the following policy and procedures for conducting an inquest, at appendices 1 and 2.

Dated this ____ day of _____, 2018

ATTEST:

Norm Alberg
Director, King County Records Licensing

Appendix 1 – Conducting Inquests in King County: Conducting Inquests in King County

1.0. SUBJECT TITLE

Conducting Inquests in King County.

2.0. PURPOSE

2.1. To establish policies and procedures for conducting reviews into the facts and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of the member's duties [and/or the exercise of member's authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive.

2.2. The purpose of the inquest is to ensure a full, fair, and transparent review of any such death, and to issue findings of fact regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding the death. The review will result in the issuance of findings regarding the cause and manner of death, and whether the law enforcement member acted pursuant to policy and training.

2.3. The purpose of the inquest is not to determine whether the law enforcement member acted in good faith or should be disciplined or otherwise held accountable, or to otherwise find fault, or to determine if the use of force was justified, or to determine civil or criminal liability. It is acknowledged that the facts determined in the course of the inquest may sometimes have an indirect bearing on such determinations.

3.0. ORGANIZATIONS AFFECTED

King County Department of Public Defense; King County Executive; King County Prosecuting Attorney; King County Superior Court; King County Medical Examiner's Office; King County Department of Executive Services; Law Enforcement agencies within King County.

4.0. REFERENCES

4.1. RCW 36.24 Counties; County Coroner.

4.2. King County Charter, Section 320.20 – The Executive Branch, Powers and Duties.

4.3. King County Charter, Section 895 – General Provisions: Mandatory Inquests.

4.4. King County Code 2.35A.090(B).

5.0 DEFINITIONS

5.1. “King County Executive” or “County Executive” means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as the County Executive of King County pursuant to Article 3 of the King County Charter.

5.2. “King County Prosecuting Attorney” means the official, or the designee of the official, who is elected and serves as Prosecuting Attorney for King County pursuant to Article XI, Section 5 of the Washington State Constitution.

5.3. “Inquest” means an administrative, fact-finding inquiry into and review of the manner, facts and circumstances of the death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority], and occasionally in other cases, as determined by the County Executive, where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees.

5.4. “Law enforcement agency” means any agency having police powers as authorized under Washington State law. For the purposes of this policy, “a member of any law enforcement agency” shall mean commissioned officers and non-commissioned staff of all local and state police forces, jails, and corrections agencies.

5.5. “Attorney representing the family of the deceased” means a privately-retained or publicly funded attorney, pursuant to KC Ordinance 18652.

5.6. “Rules of Evidence” means the evidentiary rules adopted by the Supreme Court of the State of Washington governing proceedings in the courts of the State of Washington, and such rules as may be adopted by the King County Hearing Examiner pursuant to KCC 20.22.

5.7. “Voir dire” means an examination of a prospective panel as defined below.

5.8. “In camera review” means an examination of materials by the administrator in private proceedings to rule on admissibility and use.

5.9. “Panel” refers to the jury of inquest provided by Superior Court Administration pursuant to RCW Chapter 36.24.

5.10. “Administrator” means the presider of the inquest proceeding, selected from a roster approved by the County Executive, who presides over a particular inquest proceeding.

5.11. “Manager” means the staff assigned to oversee the inquest program, to assign an administrator and pro tem attorney to a particular inquest, to provide clerical support to the administrator and pro tem attorney, and to report annual to the County Executive.

5.12. “Pro tem attorney” means the pro tem attorney assigned to assist the administrator and to facilitate an inquest.

6.0. POLICIES

6.1. There shall be an inquest into the manner, facts, and circumstances of any death of an individual involving a member of any law enforcement agency within King County while in the performance of his or her duties, [and/or the exercise of his or her authority], and in any other case as occasionally determined by the County Executive where death occurs in the custody of or in the course of contact with other non-law enforcement government agencies or employees.

6.2. While the term “involving” is to be construed broadly, there may be circumstances where law enforcement’s role is so minimal as to not warrant an inquest, or where for other reasons an inquest would impede the administration of justice. Factors to be considered include: whether a decision to prosecute has been made; whether the death was the result of a condition existing prior to and/or apart from the law enforcement involvement; whether the individual was in custody at the time of the death; whether the family of the deceased desire an inquest; and any other factor that touches on the connection between the manner of death and the actions of law enforcement. However, the public has a strong interest in a full and transparent review of the circumstances surrounding the death of an individual involving law enforcement, so an inquest will ordinarily be held.

6.3. At the discretion of the County Executive, in exceptional circumstances there may be an inquest into the causes and circumstances of a death involving an individual in King County other than a member of a law enforcement agency.

7.0. RESPONSIBILITIES

7.1. The King County Prosecuting Attorney shall inform the King County Executive whenever an investigation into a death involving a member of any law enforcement agency in King County is complete and also advise whether an inquest should be initiated pursuant to the King County Charter. If the King County Prosecuting Attorney advises that an inquest may be initiated, the King County Prosecuting Attorney and the pro tem staff attorney shall (a) supply a complete copy of the investigative file to the manager; (b) respond to public records requests for the investigative file; and (c) issue subpoenas to witnesses and/or for records at the administrator’s request.

7.2. The King County Executive shall determine whether an inquest will be held. If an inquest is to be held, the Executive shall direct an administrator conduct the inquest on the Executive’s behalf. The County Executive shall also request that the King County Superior Court Court facilitate the inquest by supplying (a) jury, which shall be referred to as a panel and (b) appropriate facilities, including a courtroom, baliff, reporter, and any necessary security. The inquest shall be conducted pursuant to this Executive Order and to RCW 36.24, as amended.

8.0. PROCEDURES

Action By: Prosecuting Attorney

8.1. Receives information from a law enforcement agency within King County of a death of an individual involving law enforcement that may require an inquest.

8.2. Promptly informs the County Executive of such a death.

8.3. Reviews the information and the investigative file and advises the County Executive as to whether an inquest should be held.

8.4. Upon request of the County Executive, forwards the investigative file to the manager.

8.5. Upon request by an administrator, issues subpoenas for witnesses and/or documents; except that a subpoena shall not be issued to the individual law enforcement officer who was directly involved in an individual's death while in the performance of his or her duties [and/or the exercise of his or her authority].

Action By: County Executive

8.6. Upon receiving the King County Prosecuting Attorney's advisory opinion, determine whether to hold an inquest.

8.7. If an inquest is to be held, the manager to proceed with the inquest.

Action By: Manager

8.8. Select an administrator to preside over the inquest and a pro tem staff attorney to assist.

8.9. Support the administrator in scheduling a pre-inquest convene and with clerical tasks.

Action By: Administrator

8.10. Hold a pre-inquest conference.

8.11. Conduct the inquest according to according to the procedures in Appendices 1 and 2.

Action By: Department of Public Defense

8.12. Assign counsel for the family of the decedent unless the family indicates they have retained other inquest counsel or do not wish to be represented by the King County Department of Public Defense. The Department of Public Defense will not be assigned in inquests, where the family is to be represented by private counsel.

Action By: Superior Court

8.13. If an inquest is to be held, the Superior Court, shall coordinate with the manager and administrator to supply a panel, recorder, and facilities pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

9.0. APPENDICES

Procedures for Conducting Inquests.

10.0 PRIOR ORDERS

This Executive Order rescinds and replaces PHL 7-1-1 (AEO), "Conducting Inquests in King County," dated March 16, 2010.

Appendix 2 – Procedures for Conducting Inquests

If an inquest is to be held, the King County administrator shall conduct the review in accordance with these procedures.

1.0 FACILITIES/COURTROOM

1.1. The inquest is an administrative hearing intended to be a fact-finding, non-adversarial process. However, the King County Superior Court administers the jury process and maintains facilities appropriate to comfortably support a jury. Therefore, where requested by the County Executive, the Superior Court will coordinate with the manager to provide persons to serve as a jury of inquest ("panel") and secure appropriate facilities. The manager shall arrange the room in a manner that promotes transparency to the public and fair treatment of all participating parties.

2.0. PARTICIPATING PARTIES

2.1. The family of the deceased, who shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present.

2.2. The law enforcement member(s) involved in the death, shall be allowed to have an attorney(s) present, provided that the law enforcement member(s) elect(s) to participate in the inquest proceeding.

2.3. The employing government department shall be allowed to be represented by its statutory attorney or lawfully appointed designee.

2.4. The manager shall assign an administrator and a pro tem attorney to assist the administrator.

2.5. An administrator, who shall preside over the inquest.

3.0. ROLE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR/SCOPE OF THE INQUEST

3.1. An administrator shall conduct the inquest. The proceedings are quasi-judicial in nature, with represented parties, and the presentation of evidence through direct and cross-examination, and subject to the Rules of Evidence, administrators shall strive to promote an atmosphere consistent with administrative fact-finding and shall strive to minimize delay, cost, and burden to participants, while promoting fair and open proceedings. Although an inquest is not a court proceeding, administrators shall be guided by open courts principles and GR 16.

3.2. The administrator, after consultation with the participating parties, shall determine the inquest scope. Consistent with the purpose as set forth in the amended Charter, Executive Order, and Appendix 1, the inquest scope shall include an inquiry into and the panel shall make findings regarding the cause, manner, and circumstances of the death, including applicable law enforcement agency policy. The panel shall make findings regarding whether the law enforcement officer complied with applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death.

3.3. The Rules of Evidence shall generally apply, but may be supplemented and/or modified by additional rules governing administrative proceedings, at the discretion of the administrator. The administrator shall construe the Rules of Evidence in a manner consistent with the goal of administrative fact-finding proceedings and to promote fairness and to minimize the delays, costs, and burden that can be associated with judicial proceedings.

4.0 DISCOVERY AND ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

4.1. Discoverable material shall be exchanged among: the administrator and any pro tem attorney; the attorney representing the family of the deceased; the attorney representing the jurisdiction employing the involved law enforcement member(s); and the attorney representing the involved law enforcement member(s).

4.2. Discovery materials are to be used by the attorneys solely for the inquest proceeding. Such materials include the police and/or agency investigative file of the incident that resulted in the death. They also include the report of the Medical Examiner, crime laboratory reports, and the names, addresses, and summaries and/or copies of statements of any witnesses obtained by any party.

4.3. In the event that confidential materials in the possession of any person or agency are sought for use in the inquest, the administrator, upon a prima facie showing of necessity, relevancy, and lack of an alternative source for the materials, shall examine the materials in camera. These materials may include, and the administrator shall have the discretion to consider the admissibility and use of, information that may be relevant to the incident. The legal representative of the person or agency in possession of the materials shall have the right to participate in the review of these materials.

4.4. The decedent's criminal history may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that: it is directly related to the reason for an arrest, detention, or use of force (e.g. officers were arresting an individual convicted of a felony who they believed was carrying a firearm); it served as the basis for an officer safety caution (or equivalent warning) that the member(s) of the law enforcement agency was aware of prior to any use of force; or other, contemporaneous knowledge of the individual's criminal history was relevant to the actions the officer(s) took or how the officer(s) assessed whether the person posed a threat.

4.5. If decedent's criminal history is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible. It may only include information both actually known to officer(s) at the time, and actually forming a basis for the decision to use deadly force or the tactics in approaching the individual. It may not include the specific crime of conviction, the nature of the crime (e.g. violent or nonviolent), the deceased's incarceration history, or any other criminal charge, unless the administrator makes a specific finding of relevance to a contested issue in the inquest.

4.6. The disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the use of force. If such information is admitted, it must be limited to the greatest extent possible.

4.7. Protective orders may be used to limit discovery, and the administrator may order the return of all discretionarily-ordered discovery.

5.0. SCHEDULE AND PRE-INQUEST CONFERENCE

5.1. It is in the best interests of affected parties and the community to hold the inquest in a timely manner. The manager and administrator will strive for timeliness and to limit unnecessary delays; extensions shall be limited and granted only upon a showing of good cause.

5.2. The manager and administrator shall schedule a pre-inquest conference with the participating parties and may hold additional conferences if necessary. The administrator will obtain proposed witness and exhibit lists, proposed panel instructions, inquest time estimates, and will inquire whether any special needs such as interpreters are required. The conference shall be public unless compelling circumstances require an in camera hearing, in which case the administrator must make findings of fact and conclusions of law justifying such measures under Washington law.

5.3. The administrator shall solicit proposed stipulations of fact from the participating parties and work diligently to narrow the scope of inquiry at the inquest. The administrator shall share the stipulated facts with the panel at the start of the inquest.

5.4. The administrator shall instruct the panel at the start of the inquest.

5.5. The manager shall maintain a website publishing the schedule for the inquest, the stipulated facts, inquest file and, where possible the inquest recordings.

6.0. PANEL POOL

The administrator shall select the panel from the regular Superior Court juror pool pursuant to RCW 36.24.020.

7.0. PANEL QUESTIONING (VOIR DIRE)

7.1. The administrator shall conduct voir dire, after consultation with the participating parties.

7.2. There is no set limit to the number of panelists the administrator may excuse. Panelists may be excused for cause and/or because serving on the inquest panel will present a hardship.

8.0. PANEL QUESTIONS FOR PARTICIPANTS

After all parties have had an opportunity to examine a witness, panelists are allowed to submit questions to the administrator that the panel wishes to pose to the witness. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine whether to submit a question to the witness and the manner of the submission.

9.0. RECORDING

The manager shall ensure that the inquest proceedings are audio recorded and that the audio recordings are made accessible to the public to the greatest extent consistent with GR 16.

10.0. MEDIA GUIDELINES

Consistent with Section 9, above, the manager shall make the proceedings available to the public and to the media, this includes video and audio recording and still photography.

11.0. ORDER OF PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

11.1. There shall be no opening statements by the parties. The judge's introduction will include an instruction in substantially the following form: "You have been empaneled as members of a coroner's panel in the inquest. This is not a trial. The purpose of the inquest is to provide public inquiry into the causes and circumstances surrounding the death of [decedent]. It is not the purpose of this inquest to determine the criminal or civil liability of any person or agency. Your role will be to hear the evidence and answer questions according to instructions given to you at the close of the proceedings. The pro tem staff attorney's role is solely to assist the court in presenting the evidence. As administrator I have determined who will be called as witnesses and the issues which you will be asked to consider."

11.2. The administrator through the pro tem attorney has the first opportunity to introduce witnesses and evidence. The parties may then each introduce their own witnesses and evidence.

11.3. The administrator, after consultation with the parties, decides the order of presentation of evidence and witnesses. The administrator may direct that the pro tem attorney conduct the initial examination of each witness.

11.4. The administrator shall make rulings on the admissibility of evidence and testimony based on the Rules of Evidence and these procedures.

12.0. WITNESSES AND TESTIMONY

12.1. Each party, including the administrator, through the pro tem attorney, may proffer its own witnesses to provide testimony that aids the panel in the understanding of the facts, including factual areas of experts (e.g. ballistics, forensic medical examination, etc.).

12.2. The administrator shall base rulings on the admissibility of such testimony on the proposed witness's qualifications, the Rules of Evidence, and these procedures. Testimony regarding changes that should be made to existing policy, procedure, and training is not permitted.

12.3. The employing government department shall designate an official(s) to provide a comprehensive overview of the forensic investigation into the incident (e.g., statements collected by investigators, investigators' review of forensic evidence, physical evidence investigators collected, etc.). Additionally, the chief law enforcement officer of the involved agency or director of the employing government department shall provide testimony concerning applicable law enforcement agency training and policy as they relate to the death but may not comment on whether employees' actions related to the death were pursuant to training and policy; or any conclusions about whether the employee's actions were within policy and training.

12.4. The inquest is intended to be a transparent process to inform the public of the circumstances of the death of a person that involved a representative of government. As such, there is a strong presumption against the exclusion of witnesses until after their testimony, and relevant, non-cumulative witnesses should only be excluded by the administrator in exceptional circumstances.

12.5. At the conclusion of the testimony, the administrator will solicit from the pro tem attorney and/or from the participating parties additional submissions of proposed stipulated facts. The administrator will determine which, if any, proposed stipulated facts should be submitted to the panel.

13.0. STATEMENTS OF SUMMATION

The pro tem attorney and the participating parties may offer a statements of summation only if preapproved by the administrator in consultation with the parties. Statements must be consistent with the fact-finding purpose of the inquest and must not suggest conclusions of law or bear on fault.

14.0. PANEL QUESTIONS

14.1. After the conclusion of testimony, each party shall submit to the administrator proposed questions for the panel. After consultation with the parties, the administrator shall determine which questions are within the scope of the inquest and should be submitted to the panel. Prior to the statement of summation, the administrator shall provide the panel with the list of questions.

14.2. The inquest administrator shall give written instructions to the panel and shall submit questions to be answered, subject to the limitations of Section 3 (above) and keeping in mind the purpose of an inquest. The administrator shall instruct the panel that it may not comment on fault, or on justification—including the mental state of the involved officer(s)—or on the criminal or civil liability of a person or agency.

14.3. Beyond these limitations, the panel shall not be confined to the stipulated facts, but may consider any testimony or evidence presented during the inquest proceeding. In answering any question, the panel may not consider any information learned outside of the inquest.

14.4. Questions submitted to the panel must provide three response options: “yes,” “no,” and “unknown.” A panelist shall respond “yes” when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the question in the affirmative. A panelist shall respond “no” when the panelist believes a preponderance of the evidence supports responding to the question in the negative. A panelist shall respond “unknown” if either (1) the weight of the evidence equally supports responding to the question in the affirmative and the negative or (2) not enough evidence was presented to allow the panelist to answer the question in the affirmative or the negative.

14.5. The panel shall deliberate and panelists shall exchange their interpretations of the evidence. However, the panel need not reach unanimity and each panelist shall be instructed to answer the questions individually.

14.6. After every question, each panelist shall have the opportunity to provide a written explanation of the panelist’s answer. The administrator shall direct each panelist that the panelist need only provide a written explanation when the panelist believes that a written explanation would provide information helpful in explaining or interpreting the panelist’s answer.

15.0. FINDINGS

15.1. The manager shall transmit the panel’s findings to the County Executive.

15.2. The manager shall ensure the findings and recommendations are published on its website along with the inquest recording.

16. ANNUAL REVIEW

16.1. The manager shall submit a report to the County Executive at the end of each year on the operations of inquests.

16.2. The County Executive will call for a periodic review of the inquest process by an independent review committee to determine if the inquest process is conforming to updated laws and adequately meeting the principles of transparency, community engagement, and respect for all those involved in the inquest process.

DRAFT

Exhibit 4



Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>

Inquest Rules Redline

Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>
To: "Topp, Gina" <GTopp@kingcounty.gov>
Cc: Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Tue, Oct 2, 2018 at 9:26 AM

Hi Gina,

Thanks for passing along the draft. All of the changes look good to me, so we should be good to go ahead with the press conference tomorrow. I will work with Cali to determine how we can best be of support.

Thanks,
Corey

[Quoted text hidden]

Exhibit 5



Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>

Inquest Rules Redline

Topp, Gina <GTopp@kingcounty.gov>
To: Corey Guilmette <corey.guilmette@defender.org>
Cc: Lisa Daugaard <lisa.daugaard@defender.org>

Tue, Oct 2, 2018 at 3:13 PM

Great. Thank you Corey.

[Quoted text hidden]