1 2 3 4 5 6 7 KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EXECUTIVE SERVICES INQUEST PROGRAM 8 9 INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF: No. 517IQ8013 INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION 10 DAMARIUS DEMONTA BUTTS. TO CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO 11 Deceased. MARCH 2022 12 13 I. INTRODUCTION 14 Stephanie Butts, mother of Damarius Butts, by and through counsel, La Rond Baker and 15 Adrian Leavitt; Officers Elizabeth Kennedy, Xavier Gordillo, Christopher Myers, and Joshua 16 Vaaga, by and through counsel, Ted Buck and Evan Bariault; and the City of Seattle/Seattle Police 17 Department, by and through counsel, Kerala Cowart and Tom Miller (hereinafter "Interested 18 Parties"), respectfully request the Administrator continue the inquest currently set for December 19 6, 2021 to March 14, 2022. The Interested Parties have a vested interest in the inquest process and 20 they, along with the public, are entitled to an inquest that is full, fair, and transparent. At this 21 juncture, the Interested Parties raise concerns and highlight numerous outstanding, unresolved 22 matters regarding the Inquest into the Death of Damarius Butts set for December 6, 2021. To 23 ensure expectations and obligations are met, a continuance is necessary. INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO

CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH

{00295024;1}

II. RELEVANT FACTS

On October 19, 2021, the Interested Parties participated in a pre-inquest hearing to address several matters related to this inquest, including setting a date for the inquest. At the time, the Interested Parties each raised concerns about a December 6, 2021 inquest based on counsel schedules, client schedules, whether there was sufficient time to prepare the inquest for that date, and whether the inquest could be completed by December 17, 2021. All agreed they were available to proceed in March 2022.

As of today, a number of weighty, outstanding issues remain. The Interested Parties possess the following concerns:

- 1. The date of the inquest was selected on October 19 (but confirmed only on October 29) and converted to an in-person proceeding on November 3, resulting in lead times of approximately seven weeks and four-and-a-half weeks respectively. One of the involved officers and SPD's designee on training are not available to testify in person. As of now, it is unclear to the Interested Parties whether the civilian witnesses have been subpoenaed yet and whether they are available to testify in person. We understand that at least one is available only remotely. December is an incredibly busy time of year for most, and we have concerns about significant witness unavailability. If key witnesses are unavailable or if some witnesses testify remotely because they do not have the option to testify in person, that may affect whether the inquest is full, fair, and transparent.
- 2. The Interested Parties have been informed they will not receive Interrogatories until November 22, 2021, only two weeks before the inquest. Although this is not a civil or criminal proceeding, the interrogatories frame the presentation of evidence and testimony. That allows little time to resolve potential disputes over the Interrogatories through conferences or through full briefing and argument. In addition, knowledge of the interrogatories is necessary for the Interested Parties to make informed decisions surrounding what position to take on the necessity of an expert, or particular fact witnesses.
- 3. The Interested Parties have been informed they will not receive jury instructions until November 17, 2021, only three weeks before the inquest. Similar to the interrogatories, what instructions are provided may necessitate additional expert needs or further interviews. *See Butts*, 198 Wn.2d at 57 (discussing use of expert witnesses in response to determination of whether decedent was killed by criminal means).
- 4. The Interested Parties have been informed they will not receive a Proposed Exhibit list until November 17, 2021, only three weeks before the inquest. Again, it is extremely difficult for the Interested Parties to prepare without this information.

INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH 2022 - 2 {00295024;1}

- 5. This inquest is the first being held under the new process and it raises many novel and complex issues. That makes it particularly difficult to predict how long the inquest will take. In the event that it runs longer than the allotted two weeks and does not conclude by December 17, that would disrupt the process greatly. As we have previously advised, many of the attorneys for the Interested Parties have pre-planned leave (scheduled long before the inquest was scheduled) starting after December 17.
- 6. Further contributing to uncertainty around the length of the inquest, according to the Coroner's Act and the Supreme Court's opinion in *Constantine v. Butts*, inquest panel members are entitled to identify relevant witnesses, however, the Interested Parties are not aware of the mechanism being utilized to allow jurors to identify witnesses or evaluate their relevancy. For example, is the panel going to be provided a list of witnesses and a brief synopsis of information each possesses? If not, how can a panel identify whether it may or may not want to hear from someone with knowledge of the facts and circumstances not currently scheduled to be called?
- 7. As many as three outstanding interviews need to occur prior to the inquest. One interview is already scheduled for November 18. In addition, the IA recently made a new request to re-interview one of SPD's witnesses and the necessity of scheduling an interview of an expert for the IO will remain undetermined until the Interrogatories are nearing completion.

III. ARGUMENT

PHL-7-1-5-EO makes clear that "[t]he purpose of the inquest is to ensure a full, fair, and transparent review of any such death, and to issue findings of fact regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding the death." It further holds that "[t]he public has a strong interest in a full and transparent review of the circumstances surrounding the death of an individual involving law enforcement." The Interested Parties strongly support these goals and agree that holding an inquest that serves the public interest requires thought and deliberation.

To that end, each of the Interested Parties have voiced their concerns to Mr. Anderson about proceeding on said date and believe more time is necessary to address the various needs of this inquest.

This inquest not only impacts the Interested Parties but will also set the foundation for inquest moving forward; it is imperative that all involved strive to create a full, fair, efficient, and transparent process. Significant "good cause" exists to continue this matter until March so that all

INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH 2022 - 3 {00295024;1}

1	involved can ensure the mandatory requirements and expectations of this inquest are met. This is
2	not a request to simply reconvene in three months, but to utilize that time to better define the
3	expectations, rules, and presentation of evidence for the inquest, thus setting a clear framework for
4	this and future inquests.
5	IV. CONCLUSION
6	Based on the foregoing, the Interested Parties respectfully request the Administrator
7	continue the inquest to March 14, 2022.
8	DATED this 11th day of November, 2021, at Seattle, Washington.
9	Respectfully submitted:
10	By:/s/ La Rond Baker By:/s/ Evan Bariault
11	La Rond Baker, WSBA #43610 Ted Buck, WSBA #22029 Adrien Leavitt, WSBA #44451 Evan Bariault, WSBA #42867
12	Attorneys for Family of Damarius Butts Attorneys for SPD Involved Officers
13	By: /s/ Kerala Cowart Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649
14	Ghazal Sharifi, WSBA #47750
15	Thomas Miller, WSBA #34472 Attorneys for Seattle Police Department
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH 2022 - 4 {00295024;1}

2

I certify that on the 11th day of November 2021, I caused a true and correct copy of this document to be served on the following in the manner indicated below:

Matthew Anderson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Matt.Anderson@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email Dee Sylve Dee.Sylve@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email Adrien Leavitt Adrien.Leavitt@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email La Rond Baker lbaker@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email Mon-Cheri Barnes Cheri.barnes@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email Lori Levinson Lori.Levinson@kingcounty.gov (x) Via Email Rebecca Boatright Rebecca.Boatright@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Jennifer Litfin Jennifer.Litfin@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Ghazal.Sharifi Ghazal.Sharifi@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Kelly Nakata Kelly.Nakata@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Kerala Cowart Kerala.Cowart@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Marisa Johnson Marisa.johnson@seattle.gov (x) Via Email Tom Miller tom@christielawgroup.com (x) Via Email Sarah Paulson (x) Via Email sarah@christielawgroup.com

INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH 2022 - 5

2022 - 3 {00295024;1}

DATED this 11th day of November, 2021, at Seattle, Washington. /s/ Evan Bariault Evan Bariault INTERESTED PARTIES' MOTION TO

CONTINUE THE INQUEST TO MARCH

2022 - 6 {00295024;1}