	VINC COUNTY DISTRICT	COURT OF WASHINGTON
		DIVISION
IN	NQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:	I
		No. 517IQ8013
D	DAMARIUS DEMONTA BUTTS, Defendant.	FAMILY OF THE DECEASED MOTION REGARDING SCOPE OF DISCOVERY
	Defendant.	REGARDING SCOPE OF DISCOVER I
		J
	I. INTRO	DDUCTION
		arough counsel Adrien Leavitt and Sadé Smith of
the	e King County Department of Public Defense,	_
	niting discovery narrowly to only include the	
		SSUE
	1) Whether the inquest Administrator shoul	d grant the discovery demand made by counsel
	for the mother of Damarius Butts seeking	g production of information relevant to the
	actions of officers who deployed non-let	hal use of force, because those the actions of
	ounsel for Mr. Butts' mother takes no position as to whould have legal representation.	ether the involved officers who deployed non-deadly force
3110	Jaia have legal representation.	VIDEO COLDITAL DEPT OF BUILDING DEFENDE

1 those officer's bear direct consequence on the circumstances by which the police shot and 2 killed Mr. Butts. 3 III. **ARGUMENT** 4 5 1) The Administrator should permit discovery relevant to the actions of the officers who deployed non-lethal use of force because those officers' actions impacted the 6 7 circumstances by which the shooting officers fired upon and killed Damarius Butts. 8 The purpose of an inquest is to "ensure a full, fair, and transparent review" of the facts and 9 circumstances of any death of a person involving a member of law enforcement. Executive 10 Order, Conducting Inquests in King County, October 3, 2018, 2.0 (hereinafter E.O.). In an effort to strive towards fairness, the new inquest procedures in King County appoint counsel from the 11 12 King County Department of Public Defense to the family of the deceased if the family is 13 unrepresented. E.O. 8.12. In this case, the mother of Damarius Butts, the only family member a 14 party to this action, was unrepresented; due to the new procedures, Mr. Butts' mother is now 15 represented by assigned counsel from the Department of Public Defense. 16 Through counsel, Mr. Butts' mother requested discovery from the City Attorney's office 17 related to the shooting officers, SPD Officer Canek Gordillo, #7651; SPD Officer Elizabeth 18 Kennedy, #7725; SPD Officer Christopher Mysers, #5452; SPD Officer Joseph Vaaga, #8397. 19 This request specifically included a demand for information related to this specific incident, 20 information contacted in their personnel file with the Seattle Police Department, and information 21 relevant to their prior training and experience with Seattle Police. It appears undisputed that 22 23 24 KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE FAMILY OF THE DECEASED MOTION REGARDING

1	counsel for Mr. Butts' mother is entitled to these materials. 2 See Appendix A, Discovery			
2	Demand, June 18, 2019.			
3	In addition, counsel for Mr. Butts' mother also requests similar discovery regarding the			
4	actions of <u>four</u> ³ additional Seattle Police Department officers who deployed non-lethal force in			
5	this incid	ent ⁴ , specifically:		
6	i.	SPD Officer Chris Bandel, #8367, who pointed his firearm at Mr. Butts;		
7	ii.	SPD Officer Jacob Briskey, #6824, who was assigned to the canine and ordered the		
8		dog to bite and drag Mr. Butts after he was shot;		
9	iii.	SPD Officer Hudson Kang, #7759, who pointed his firearm at Mr. Butts and was		
10		subsequently shot and removed from the scene with injuries; and		
11	iv.	SPD Officer Adam Merritt, #7673, who drew his weapon in an attempt to detain Mr.		
12		Butts at the outset of this incident but before Mr. Butts ran into the loading dock at the		
13		federal building.		
14	Th	e actions of the non-shooting officers is relevant to the inquiry into the facts and		
15	circumstances of Mr. Butts death because their actions create the context for his death and			
16	actions by the Seattle Police after shots were fired, which may have been before or after his			
17	death. Under the discovery rules, the request is material and reasonably calculated to lead the			
18	discovery of admissible evidence. CrR 4.7, CR 26. While actual admissibility is not an issue at			
19	this early juncture, the request is material and reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of			
20				
21	² At the request of the Assistant City Attorney attorneys and in hopes of aiding of the discovery process, counsel for Mr. Butts' mother provided a narrow request for training records.			
22	Clark, #831	, as noted in Attachment A, the demand included information regarding a fifth officer, Officer Matthew .0. That request is now rescinded.		
23	⁴ The officers who deployed non-deadly force during this incident are sometimes referred to as the "non-shooting" officers. There were far more officers involved in this case beyond the eight at issue in this discovery demand. The discovery demand does not contemplate information about the officers who did not use any force during this			
24	incident. KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE			

1	admissible evidence. The demand contemplates the background, training, and experience of the
2	involved officers who deployed non-lethal force that is directly relevant to the decisions made
3	each officer in this incident, which ultimately led the officer-involved shooting death of Mr.
4	Butts. Just like the other parties will seek to elicit testimony about Mr. Butts' actions downtown
5	which led to pursuant by police, the contextual information of which officers drew their
6	weapons, whether the officers followed relevant training and procedure in doing so, and whether
7	those officers were involved in prior misconduct that bears relevance to subsequent police action
8	here. The actions of the non-shooting officers leading up to the shooting is directly relevant to
9	assess the actions of the shooting officers. Moreover, the context does not cease to be relevant
10	once the Seattle Police officers stopped shooting at Mr. Butts; actions of the non-shooting
11	officers continue to be relevant, particularly because we do not know the exact time of Mr. Butts
12	death and whether he was indeed deceased when the canine dog bit him and dragged his body.
13	For each of the non-shooting officers who deployed non-deadly force, the discovery
14	request with additional explanation outlining why the request is material and reasonably
15	calculated to lead the discovery of admissible evidence is as follows:
16	1) Records outlining when each of the shooting and non-shooting officers received SPD issued
17	body worn video
18	The purpose of this request is to ascertain when each of the officers received and started
19	using their SPD issued body worn video (BWV). In this case, discovery contains only dash cam
20	video and no BWV. This demand seeks to verify that, in fact, none of the officers present for this
21	incident had BWV capacity.
22	2) Shooting and non-shooting officer firearms certifications and renewals
23	
	1

24

The purpose of this request is to verify that each officer was in compliance with their
firearm certification and renewal requirements. If an officer was not in compliance, this
information is material to show that either all officers were up-to-date on their firearms renewal
or, if not up-to-date, their failure to do so may be related to the facts and circumstances regarding
Mr. Butts' death.

 Records outline each shooting and non-shooting officer's assigned firearms and Tasers for the date of this incident

The purpose of this request is to ascertain which firearms were assigned to which officers the day of this incident. Regarding Tasers, the purpose of this request is to ascertain if any of the officers were armed with Tasers at the time of this incident. Each officer's firearm is material to the ballistics analysis preformed after Mr. Butts' death. Whether each officer was armed with a Taser is material to the question of whether non-lethal force could have been used first; if the officers were not armed with Tasers, then this demand will verify that fact which is otherwise not verified in discovery.

4) OCA files for each officer & use of force history for each officer, including firearms and Tasers

The inquest rules clearly suggest an assumption that an officer's disciplinary history, as contacted in an OCA file, would be included in discovery. In the discovery section of the Executive Order, 4.6 states: "The disciplinary history of the law enforcement member(s) involved may not be introduced into evidence unless the administrator first determines that it is directly related to the use of force." Each officer's OCA file is critical to determine whether the officer was in good standing, whether there have been past findings of biased policing, disciplinary history, or other relevant topics. Counsel for Mr. Butts' mother has submitted a

1	public disclosure request for each of these files for the shooting and non-shooting officers.		
2	However, due to the length of time a return could take, these records are requested through		
3	discovery.		
4	5) Training records & specific training materials based on what appears relevant from each		
5	officer's training records ⁵		
6	The purpose of an inquest includes inquiry into "whether the law enforcement officer		
7	acted pursuant to policy and training." E.O. 2.2. In order to inquire into whether the officers		
8	followed training, counsel for Mr. Butts' mother must know what the training purports to teach		
9	as well as relevant policies. This clearly applies to the shooting officers, and also applies to the		
10	non-shooting officers who were part of the dynamic scene that ultimately led to Mr. Butts' death,		
11			
12 13	DATED this 8 th day of July, 2019		
14			
15	/s Adrien Leavitt		
16	Adrien Leavitt, WSBA #44451 Sadé Smith, WSBA #44867		
17	Attorneys for Mother of Damarius Butts		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	⁵ After the prior hearing, in an effort to streamline the request and based on the suggestion from the City Attorney's Office as well as the Administrator, counsel for Mr. Butts' mother reviewed all of the training records that are in		
24	discovery and provided an enumerated list of the training that appear relevant to this inquest. KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE		

APPENDIX A

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	KING COUNTY DISTRICT COURT OF WASHINGTON		
7	WEST DIVISION		
8	INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:		
9	No. 517IQ8013 DAMARIUS DEMONTA BUTTS,		
10	DISCOVERY DEMAND Defendant.		
11			
12			
13	TO: Ghazal Sharifi and Erika Evans, City of Seattle representing the Seattle Police Department		
14	CC: Ted Buck and Evan Bariault, attorneys for Officers Elizabeth Kennedy, Hudson Kang,		
15	Chris Myers, Joshua Vaga, and Xaviar Gordillo; Matthew Anderson, King County Inquest		
16	Administrator Assistant.		
17	<u>DISCOVERY DEMAND</u>		
18 19	Pursuant to representation of the mother of Damarius Butts, the undersigned counsel		
	request that the Seattle Police Department, provide the following records. This demand is made		
20 21	pursuant to EO, A1, § 4 and Civil Rule 26.		
22	(1) Relevant records outlining when each of the following officers was issued body worn		
23	video:		
24	(a) C. Bandel, #8367		
- '	KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE		

1	(b) J. Briskey, #6824
2	(c) M. Clark, #6824
3	(d) C. Gordillo, #7651
4	(e) H. Kang, #7759
5	(f) E. Kennedy, #7725
6	(g) A. Merritt, #7673
7	(h) C. Myers, #5452
8	(i) J. Vaaga, #8397
9	(2) Relevant records regarding each of the following officer's firearms certifications and
10	renewals:
11	(a) C. Bandel, #8367
12	(b) J. Briskey, #6824
13	(c) M. Clark, #6824
14	(d) C. Gordillo, #7651
15	(e) H. Kang, #7759
16	(f) E. Kennedy, #7725
17	(g) A. Merritt, #7673
18	(h) C. Myers, #5452
19	(i) J. Vaaga, #8397
20	(3) Relevant records regarding each of the following officer's assigned firearms & Tasers
21	(a) C. Bandel, #8367
22	(b) J. Briskey, #6824
23	(c) M. Clark, #6824
24	KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE

1	(d) C. Gordillo, #7651
2	(e) H. Kang, #7759
3	(f) E. Kennedy, #7725
4	(g) A. Merritt, #7673
5	(h) C. Myers, #5452
6	(i) J. Vaaga, #8397
7	(4) SPD policy regarding ongoing training requirements in order to be an officer in good
8	standing with SPD, including general good standing to be an officer and for firearms
9	certification
10	(5) DNA results and/or status of DNA testing (as ordered by WSP in Bates 852)
11	(6) SPD new officer handbook and/or new hire training requirements (with underlying
12	documents)
13	(7) Confirmation that the flash bang used was via KCSO
14	(8) Confirmation that the K9 used with SPD
15	(a) Training records for K9 and handler specific to duties and dog handler.
16	(9) All materials held by SPD subject to <i>Brady</i> disclosure for the following officers:
17	(a) C. Bandel, #8367
18	(b) J. Briskey, #6824
19	(c) M. Clark, #6824
20	(d) C. Gordillo, #7651
21	(e) H. Kang, #7759
22	(f) E. Kennedy, #7725
23	(g) A. Merritt, #7673
24	KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE

1	(h) C. Myers, #5452
2	(i) J. Vaaga, #8397
3	(10) OPA files for each of the following officers:
4	(a) C. Bandel, #8367
5	(b) J. Briskey, #6824
6	(c) M. Clark, #6824
7	(d) C. Gordillo, #7651
8	(e) H. Kang, #7759
9	(f) E. Kennedy, #7725
10	(g) A. Merritt, #7673
11	(h) C. Myers, #5452
12	(i) J. Vaaga, #8397
13	(11) All Other Use of Force reports related to firearm and/or Taser discharge for the
13 14	(11) All Other Use of Force reports related to firearm and/or Taser discharge for the following officers:
14	following officers:
14 15	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367
14 15 16	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824
14 15 16 17	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824 (c) M. Clark, #6824
14 15 16 17 18	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824 (c) M. Clark, #6824 (d) C. Gordillo, #7651
14 15 16 17 18 19	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824 (c) M. Clark, #6824 (d) C. Gordillo, #7651 (e) H. Kang, #7759
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824 (c) M. Clark, #6824 (d) C. Gordillo, #7651 (e) H. Kang, #7759 (f) E. Kennedy, #7725
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	following officers: (a) C. Bandel, #8367 (b) J. Briskey, #6824 (c) M. Clark, #6824 (d) C. Gordillo, #7651 (e) H. Kang, #7759 (f) E. Kennedy, #7725 (g) A. Merritt, #7673

1	(12)	Training mater	rial records (like what is requested in Bates 3606 and provided thereafter
2		for) the follow	ving officers:
3		(a) C. Bandel	, #8367
4		(b) M. Clark,	#6824
5		(c) H. Kang,	#7759
6	(13)	The following	materials, if available to SPD, for each of the following training
7		attended by the	e below-listened officer. If SPD did not create or retain the materials,
8		please note tha	t and any relevant information.
9		(a) Office	r Vaaga, #8397:
10		i.	SPD – 2017 Crowd Control/Firearms and Tactics
11		ii.	SPD – 2016 Crisis Intervention Training
12		iii.	SPD – 2016 Integrated Tactics
13		iv.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Defense Tactics #3 Impact Weapons
14		v.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Field Training Program
15		vi.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Firearms Day 4
16		vii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Firearms Day 3
17		viii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Firearms Day 2
18		ix.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Firearms Day 1
19		х.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Taser X2 Operator
20		xi.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Introduction to Rapid Intervention
21		xii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Defensive Tactics #4 Ground Control &
22			Survival
23		xiii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Fundamental Principals
24			KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE

1	xiv.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Defense Tactics #2 Counter Striking Trools
2	xv.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Barricaded Person
3	xvi.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA De-Escalation
4	xvii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Contact/Cover Roles
5	xviii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Defense Tactics #1 Control & Cuffing
6	xix.	SPD – 2016 Early Intervention Training
7	(b) Office	er Merritt, #7673:
8	i.	SPD – 2017 ACT Refresher – Entry
9	ii.	SPD – 2017 Small Team Tactics
10	iii.	SPD – 2017 Crown Control/Firearms and Tactics
11	iv.	SPD – 2016 Crisis Intervention Training
12	v.	SPD – 2016 Firearm Qualification and Less-lethal Recertification
13	vi.	SPD – 2016 Tukwila Taser Use of Force Roll Call Training
14	vii.	SPD – 2016 Bias-Based Complain Roll Call Training
15	viii.	SPD – 2015 Tactical De-Escalation/Firearms Individual Skills
16	ix.	SPC – 2014 Bias Free Policing
17	x.	SPD – 2015 Advanced Crisis Intervention Training
18	xi.	SPD – 2016 Early Intervention System
19	xii.	SPD – 2016 Defense Tactics #1
20	(c) Office	er Myers, #5452:
21	i.	SPD – 2017 Crown Control/Firearms and Tactics
22	ii.	SPD – 2016 Integrated Tactics
23	iii.	SPD – 2016 Post BLEA Care Under Fire
24		KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE NORTHWEST DEFENDERS DIVISION

1	iv.	SPD – 2016 Crisis Intervention Training
2	v.	SPD – 2016 Firearms Qualification and Less-lethal Recertification
3	vi.	SPD – 2015 Bias-Based Complained Roll Call Training
4	vii.	SPD – 2016 Tactical De-Escalation/Firearms Individual Skills
5	viii.	SPD – 2016 Biased Free Policing
6	ix.	SPD – 2015 Early Invention System
7	x.	SPD – 2015 Officer Sustainment – Use of Force
8	xi.	SPD – 2015 Defense Tactics #1
9	(d) Office	er Kennedy, #7725:
10	i.	SPD – 2017 Frontline Investigations
11	ii.	SPD – 2017 Crown Control/Firearms and Tactics
12	iii.	SPD – 2017 Care Under Fire
13	iv.	SPD – 2016 Integrated Tactics
14	v.	SPD – 2016 Firearm Qualification and Less-lethal Recertification
15	vi.	SPD – 2016 Crisis Intervention Training Anti-Harassment and Anti-
16		Discrimination
17	vii.	SPD – 2015 Handgun Qualification
18	viii.	SPD – 2015 Rapid Intervention Training
19	ix.	SPD – 2014 Bias Free Policing
20	(e) Office	er Gordillo, #7651:
21	i.	SPD – 2017 Small Team Tactics
22	ii.	SPD – 2017 Crown Control/Firearms and Tactics
23	iii.	SPD – 2017 Care Under Fire
24		KING COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC DEFENSE

1	iv. SPD – 2015 Tactical De-Escalation/Firearms Individual Skills
2	v. SPD – 2015 Early Intervention System
3	(f) Officer Brinksey, #6824:
4	i. SPD – 2017 Crowd Control/Firearms and Tactics
5	ii. SPD – 2017 Care Under Fire
6	iii. SPD – 2017 Core Competencies
7	iv. SPD – 2016 Integrated Tactics
8	v. SPD – 2016 Crown Control
9	
10	DATED this 18 th day of June, 2019
11	
12	/s Adrien Leavitt
13	Adrien Leavitt, WSBA #44451 Attorney for Mother of Damarius Butts
14	Attorney for Wother of Burnarus Butts
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	